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The net heat flux and meridional temperature advection in the ocean are two factors in the North Pacific subtropical sea surface temperature front (NPSTF) frontogenesis occurring from October to the following February. However, relative importance of these two factors has been rarely explored. In this study, frontogenesis of the NPSTF is examined quantitatively based on the mixed-layer heat budget equation to clarify the relative importance of net heat flux and meridional temperature advection, and to further explore its connection with the atmosphere above. Diagnosis results show that the net heat flux dominates the frontogenesis from October to December, while the meridional temperature advection in the ocean contributes equally as or even more than the net heat flux in January and February. The atmosphere is critical to the frontogenesis of the NPSTF, including the direct effect of the net heat flux and the indirect effect through the Aleutian low. Further analyses demonstrate that the latent heat flux (the shortwave radiation) dominates the net heat flux in October (from November to February). The meridional temperature advection in the ocean is mostly owing to the meridional Ekman convergence, which is related to the Aleutian low. Climatologically, the strengthening and southward migration of the Aleutian low from October to the following February are characterized by the acceleration and southward shift of the westerly wind to the south, respectively, which can drive southward ocean currents. Correspondingly, the southward ocean currents give the colder meridional advection to the north of the NPSTF in January and February, favoring the frontogenesis. In addition, the Aleutian low plays a role in
transforming the dominant effect of the net heat flux to the joint effect of the meridional temperature advection and the net heat flux in January.
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1. Introduction

The North Pacific Ocean is featured by two zonal sea surface temperature (SST) fronts at mid-latitude and subtropics, respectively. The mid-latitude front, with greater magnitude, is referred to as the North Pacific subarctic SST front (NPSAF), and the subtropical one is the North Pacific subtropical SST front (NPSTF). Due to the smaller magnitude, the NPSTF has been rarely studied. However, it also exerts significant influences on the overlying atmosphere (Xie, 2004; Kobashi et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017a, 2017b). On the synoptic scale, Kobashi et al. (2008) found that the subsynoptic lows along the NPSTF are enhanced by the condensational heating and baroclinicity associated with the NPSTF during April to May. On the interannual scale, the intensified NPSTF in spring can not only accelerate the East Asian westerly jet (Zhang et al., 2017a), but also serve as a precursor to the following La Niña event (Zhang et al., 2017b).

From the perspective of the seasonal variation, the NPSAF can exist throughout the year, but the NPSTF is robust in winter and spring and is absent in summer and autumn (Fig. 1; Kobashi and Xie, 2012). Thus, several studies have focused on the frontogenesis and frontolysis of the NPSTF (Roden, 1975; Kazmin and Rienecker, 1996; Qiu and Kawamura, 2012). It is pointed out that the net heat flux is responsible for the frontolysis of the NPSTF (Qiu and Kawamura, 2012; Qiu et al., 2014). In terms of the frontogenesis, Roden (1975) found the meridional Ekman convergence is the primary reason for the frontogenesis of the NPSTF. However, Kazmin and Rienecker (1996) diagnosed the mixed-layer heat budget equation using the
observation data from 1982 to 1990, and pointed out that both the net heat flux and the Ekman convergence are frontogenetic and equally important to provide the observed frontogenesis in winter, rather than the Ekman convergence alone. This finding is further confirmed by Dinniman and Rienecker (1999), based on the 10 years’ (1985-1995) simulation of a primitive equation model (Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory’s MOM2). However, they argued that these two factors are not equally important: the net heat flux (the Ekman convergence) dominates the frontogenesis in the western subtropical Pacific (the central and eastern subtropical Pacific). Thus, the relative role of the net heat flux and the Ekman convergence in the frontogenesis of the NPSTF remains unclear, due to limited data used in previous studies. Meanwhile, the net heat flux is associated with the air-sea interaction, and the Ekman convergence is driven by the surface wind stress, implying that both frontogenesis factors are closely related to the atmospheric circulation. Kazmin (2017) demonstrated the long-term (quasi-decadal) variability of the subtropical SST front is determined by the variability of the meridional shear of the zonal wind. Thus, the role of the atmosphere in the frontogenesis of the NPSTF deserves to further study.

Therefore, this paper aims to figure out the relative importance of the net heat flux and the oceanic meridional temperature advection (including the Ekman convergence) in the frontogenesis of the NPSTF, especially the role of the atmosphere in this process. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We introduce the data and methods in Section 2. We analyze the frontogenesis of the NPSTF using the mixed-layer heat budget equation in Section 3 to explore the relative importance of
the net heat flux and the oceanic meridional temperature advection. Section 4 further investigates the roles of atmosphere in the frontogenesis. Conclusion and discussion are given in Section 5.

2 Data and Methods

2.1 Data

We use the monthly ocean temperature, current velocities and wind stress from the Simple Ocean Data Assimilation (SODA; Carton and Giese, 2008) version 2.2.4 at 0.5°×0.5° grid with 40 levels from the depth of 5 to 2000 m. We also use surface heat fluxes from the Objectively Analyzed Air-sea Fluxes Project (OAFlux; Yu and Weller, 2007) at 2.5°×2.5° grid to examine the mixed-layer heat budget. All heat fluxes are defined to be positive downward. For consistency, all variables are interpolated onto 0.5°×0.5° grid, and they cover the period from January 1984 to December 2009. The ocean temperature at 1.0°×1.0° grid with 27 levels from the International Pacific Research Center (IPRC) Argo Product, together with ocean currents (on 40 levels) and surface heat fluxes at 0.3°×1.0° grid from the NCEP Global Ocean Data Assimilation System (GODAS; Saha et al., 2011) are used to confirm our results based on the SODA data. The Argo and GODAS data are interpolated onto 1.0°×1.0° grid at 27 depths, and only cover the period from January 2005 to December 2013.

The atmospheric geopotential height and winds used in this study are monthly ERA-interim reanalysis from the European Center for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF; Dee et al., 2011). They are on 1.5°×1.5° grid, and cover the
period from January 1984 to December 2009.

2.2 The mixed-layer heat budget equation

The temporal variation of SST is governed by mixed-layer dynamics, which can be represented by the mixed-layer heat budget equation (Dinniman and Rienecker, 1999; Zhang et al., 2013):

\[
\frac{\partial SST}{\partial t} = -u \frac{\partial SST}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial SST}{\partial y} - w \frac{\Delta T}{H} + \frac{Q_{net}}{\rho_c c_p H} + R,
\]  

(1)

where \( SST \) denotes sea surface temperature (here, we assume that SST equals mixed-layer mean temperature), and \( \Delta T \) represents the temperature difference between the mixed layer and the interior ocean immediately below the mixed layer. \( u \) and \( v \) are mixed-layer zonal and meridional oceanic current velocities, respectively; \( w \) is the vertical velocity at the bottom of the mixed layer. \( H \) is mixed-layer depth. \( Q_{net} \) is the net surface heat flux, including sensible and latent heat fluxes, as well as longwave and shortwave radiation. A positive value of \( Q_{net} \) means that the ocean gains heat from the atmosphere. \( \rho_c \) and \( c_p \) are the density and heat capacity of sea water, respectively. \( R \) is the residual term, including sub-grid scale processes and dissipation. The zonal temperature advection \((- u \partial SST / \partial y \)) , meridional temperature advection \((- v \partial SST / \partial y \)) and vertical temperature advection \((- w \Delta T / H \)) are intrinsic processes in the ocean (Yu and Boer, 2004; Chen et al., 2014), while the net heat flux term \( (Q_{net}/\rho_c c_p H) \) represents air-sea interaction. The SST tendency \( (\partial SST / \partial t) \) in a particular month is obtained through the central finite difference.

Since the meridional gradient of SST overwhelmingly dominates over its zonal
counterpart in the frontal region, the gradient magnitude (GM) of the NPSTF is defined as $GM = -\partial SST/\partial y$ to measure the intensity of the NPSTF in a particular month (Qiu and Kawamura, 2012; Qiu et al., 2014). Accordingly, GM is always positive because the climatological mean SST is higher in the south. Its tendency can be derived from Eq. (1) as follows,

$$\frac{\partial GM}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (u \frac{\partial SST}{\partial x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (v \frac{\partial SST}{\partial y}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (w \frac{\Delta T}{H}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{Q_{net}}{\rho_c c_p H} \right) - \frac{\partial R}{\partial y}, \quad (2)$$

A bigger (smaller) GM indicates a stronger (weaker) NPSTF. A positive GM tendency ($\partial GM/\partial t$) suggests a process that GM gradually increases, corresponding to the frontogenesis of the NPSTF. A negative GM tendency indicates the decreasing of GM, corresponding to the frontolysis of the NPSTF.

2.3 Definition of the mixed-layer depth

Three definitions of mixed-layer depth $H$ are used in this study: (a) $SST - T_H = 0.5^\circ C$ (Qiu et al., 2014), where $T_H$ is the temperature at the base of the mixed layer, and the depth of $0.5^\circ C$ lower than the SST is defined as $H$. (b) $SST - T_H = 1.0^\circ C$ (Suga and Hanawa, 1990), so the depth of $1.0^\circ C$ lower than the SST is defined as $H$. (c) mixed-layer depth from the GODAS. Figure 2a shows the latitude-time section of the climatological mean mixed-layer depth calculated by method (a) averaged from 140°E to 170°W (longitudinal region of the NPSTF in Fig. 1; Zhang et al., 2017). The mixed-layer depth exhibits significant seasonal variation, namely, deep in winter and spring with a maximum of 60–80 m and shallow in summer with a minimum of 20 m. Figure 2b shows the latitude-depth section of the
climatological mean zonal current velocities and ocean temperature gradients averaged in winter and spring when the NPSTF exists. The maximum center of the ocean temperature gradients (NPSTF) is mainly located between 24°N and 30°N at surface and could expand downward to the depth of 60 m. The vertical scale of the maximum center is consistent with the deeper mixed layer in winter and spring calculated by method (a), suggesting the variation of mixed-layer-averaged temperature gradient can well represent the variation of the NPSTF. The mixed-layer depth is also computed by methods (b) and (c). Except for the deeper depth in winter and spring (~80 m), their temporal evolutions of the mixed-layer depth agree well with that in Fig. 2a, and the diagnosis results of Eqs. (1) and (2) do not change qualitatively (not shown). Therefore, method (a) is used to define the mixed-layer depth in this study. In addition, two subsurface subtropical temperature fronts are located between 80 and 180 m in Fig. 2b, associated with the two branches of the North Pacific subtropical countercurrent, consistent with the findings of Kobashi et al. (2006). Note that the eastward velocities are relatively weak over 25°N–30°N where the ocean temperature gradients are strongest. This may be due to the offset of the salinity gradients, which yield westward zonal velocities there (not shown).

3 Frontogenesis of the NPSTF

Figure 3 shows latitude-time sections of the climatological mean GM and its tendency averaged over (140°E–170°W). The GM tendency is positive and moves southward from September to the following February. The NPSTF that forms in
December is characterized by the SST gradient of 0.6 °C (100 km)$^{-1}$, which is the threshold for the emergence and disappearance of the NPSTF according to Qiu et al. (2014). Then, it strengthens and slightly migrates southward until March, with a maximum of 0.9 °C (100 km)$^{-1}$ at 27°N. Although the NPSTF is still robust in spring, it exhibits an evident northward shift with a strengthening in the northern part and a weakening in the southern and central parts. It finally disappears in July, consistent with the previous studies (Dinniman and Rienecker, 1999; Qiu et al., 2014). In this study, we mainly focus on the frontogenesis period of the NPSTF, which is from October to the following February when the GM tendency is significantly positive. As the NPSTF is located between 24°N and 30°N during this period, the frontogenesis region of the NPSTF is defined as (140°E–170°W, 24°N–30°N).

### 3.1 SST variation

Since the NPSTF is characterized by the meridional gradient of SST in the subtropics, the SST variation during the frontogenesis of the NPSTF is the first thing we are interested in. Figure 4 portrays the temporal evolution of each term in Eq. (1) over the NPSTF from October to the following February. As shown in Fig. 4a, the SST tendency is coherently negative during the frontogenesis, indicating that the SST across the NPSTF gradually decreases. Note that the SST decreases more quickly in the north than in the south, corresponding to the strengthening of the NPSTF. This indicates that the largely decreasing SST in the north should be the key for the frontogenesis of the NPSTF. A diagnosis of each contributor on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) is given in Figs. 4b–f. The SST tendency due to the net heat flux term (Fig. 4e)
bears similarities with the SST tendency in Fig. 4a in terms of spatial pattern and magnitude, while the residual term \((R)\) is mainly positive and facilitates an increasing SST. As for the oceanic intrinsic processes, the meridional temperature advection serves as a much more important factor in determining the SST tendency compared to the zonal and vertical temperature advections, especially in January and February. In addition, the meridional temperature advection experiences a significant southward displacement, which slightly increases the SST across the NPSTF in October and November and strongly decreases the SST in January and February. This is similar to the southward migration of the GM tendency during the frontogenesis (Fig. 3). Overall, the SST across the NPSTF gradually decreases during the frontogenesis, which is mainly attributed to the net heat flux term with some contributions from the cold meridional advection in January and February. The residual term acts to suppress this decreasing tendency.

3.2 GM variation

Figure 5a shows the temporal evolution of the climatological mean GM tendency across the NPSTF from October to the following February. It is positive and moves southward during the frontogenesis period, corresponding to the gradual enhancement of the NPSTF. Similar to the SST tendency from October to December (Fig. 4), the GM tendency is mainly caused by the net heat flux term (Fig. 5e), while the residual term acts to suppress the frontogenesis process (Fig. 5f). In January and February, the net heat flux term, together with the meridional temperature advection, favors the frontogenesis of the southern and central NPSTF and suppresses the frontogenesis of
The effect of $R$ is nearly the opposite. Note that the magnitude of the meridional temperature advection is quantitatively comparable to that of the net heat flux term in January and February. Besides, the zonal and vertical temperature advections are negligible due to their smaller magnitudes (Figs. 5b and 5d). Figure 6a further shows the regionally averaged GM tendency across the NPSTF during the frontogenesis. The net heat flux term dominates the GM tendency from October to December and decreases after January. The meridional temperature advection increases gradually from October to December, and plays an important role in January and February. The residual term ($R$) mainly exerts an opposing influence on the frontogenesis except in January. These findings can be quantitatively illustrated in Fig. 6b. The net heat flux term controls the NPSTF frontogenesis from October to December, while the meridional advection increases gradually and contributes equally as the net heat flux in January and February. The results in January and February are consistent with those in Kazmin and Rienecker (1996), namely, the net heat flux and the meridional Ekman convergence are equally important for the frontogenesis in winter. In addition, the net heat flux also contributes to the disappearance of the NPSTF in summer (not shown), which is consistent with the finding of Qiu et al. (2014).

Figure 6c shows the area mean GM tendency across the NPSTF calculated using the Argo data from 2005 to 2013. Similar to Fig. 6a, the net heat flux term dominates from October to December and the meridional temperature advection works in January and February. However, the effect of the meridional temperature advection is
overwhelmingly large in January and February, with much smaller net heat flux term and $R$. This further confirms the dominant effect of the net heat flux term from October to December and the important role of the meridional temperature advection in January and February for the frontogenesis of the NPSTF. Therefore, similar to the previous studies (Kazmin and Rienecker, 1996; Dinniman and Rienecker, 1999), both the net heat flux and oceanic meridional temperature advection contribute to the frontogenesis of the NPSTF. As for the relative importance, the net heat flux dominates the frontogenesis from October to December and then the meridional temperature advection contributes equally as or even more than the net heat flux in January and February. In addition, although the magnitude of the net heat flux dominates the GM tendency from October to December, the tendency of GM tendency is not all consistent with that of the net heat flux term, for example at 26.5°N (Fig. 5e). However, the increasing of the GM tendency corresponds to that of meridional temperature advection, highlighting the important role of the meridional temperature advection in the frontogenesis.

4 Roles of the Atmosphere

4.1 Decomposition of the net heat flux

The net heat flux term is critical for the frontogenesis of the NPSTF from October to December, which can be decomposed as follows:

$$\frac{Q_{net}}{\rho_0 c_p H} = \frac{Q_i}{\rho_0 c_p H} + \frac{Q_L}{\rho_0 c_p H} + \frac{Q_{LR}}{\rho_0 c_p H} + \frac{Q_{SR}}{\rho_0 c_p H},$$

(3)
where \( Q_S \), \( Q_L \), \( Q_{LR} \), and \( Q_{SR} \) represent sensible heat flux, latent heat flux, longwave radiation, and shortwave radiation, respectively. Figure 7 shows the temporal evolution of the GM tendency induced by individual heat flux terms in Eq. (3). The positive latent heat flux term primarily contributes to the positive GM tendency in October, together with the sensible heat flux and the longwave radiation terms. The shortwave radiation term evidently strengthens in November and December, and appears to be the dominant factor in January and February. Meanwhile, the other three terms act to suppress the frontogenesis, especially the latent heat flux term. Therefore, the four components of the net heat flux jointly contribute to the frontogenesis of the NPSTF, with a leading effect of the latent heat flux term in October and shortwave radiation term from November to February. Note that the temporal variation of the net heat flux term is consistent with that of the latent heat flux term. Moreover, the quick decrease of the net heat flux term in January is mainly attributed to the reduction of the latent heat flux term.

4.2 Cold meridional advection

As discussed above, the meridional temperature advection plays an important role in the frontogenesis of the NPSTF in January and February (Fig. 6), which transports the cold water from north to decrease the SST across the NPSTF. Figure 8 gives the meridional Ekman convergence of \( \partial (V_E \partial \text{SST}/\partial y)/\partial y \) calculated by the meridional Ekman velocity \( V_E = -\tau_x/\rho_0 f H \), where \( \tau_x \) is the zonal component of wind stress and \( f \) is the Coriolis parameter. The meridional Ekman convergence moves southward from October and strengthens in January and February, similar to the meridional
temperature advection (Fig. 5c). In terms of magnitude, the Ekman convergence also largely contributes to the meridional temperature advection in the frontogenesis. Thus, the meridional temperature advection in January and February is mostly owing to the meridional Ekman convergence. Note that $\tau_x = c_D \rho_a U^2$, where $c_D$ is the drag coefficient, $\rho_a$ is air density and $U$ is the surface zonal wind speed. Accordingly, the meridional Ekman convergence must be associated with zonal wind speed. In the following, we focus on possible atmospheric influence on the meridional temperature advection.

Figure 9a shows latitude-time sections of the climatological monthly mean geopotential height and zonal wind speed at 1000 hPa. The Aleutian low strengthens and heads southward from ~48°N in October to ~35°N in February, with the associated westerly wind enhanced and shifted southward. In theory, the westerly wind stress co-varies with the westerly wind, which can force southward Ekman ocean currents in the Northern Hemisphere according to $V_E = -\tau_x/\rho_a fH$. Thus, the southward meridional ocean currents are obviously increased, and move southward from October to the following February with the Aleutian low (Figure 9b). Correspondingly, the cold meridional advection is enhanced and moves southward, cooling the SST across the NPSTF in January and February, which is consistent with the southward migration of the meridional temperature advection in Fig. 4c. Li (2010) found that an Aleutian low-like anomalous wind stress can decrease the SST in the mid-latitude North Pacific (north of 25°N) in numerical models. Further analysis revealed that it is the cold meridional advection, induced by the Aleutian low-like
anomalous wind stress, acts to decrease the SST north of 25°N. This previous study suggested that the strengthening and southward migration of the Aleutian low can decrease the SST across the NPSTF via the cold meridional advection. In addition, both the westerlies and the southward currents reach the southern latitude of 28°N, resulting in colder SST in the northern NPSTF than in the southern NPSTF, corresponding to the frontogenesis of the NPSTF. The cooler SST in the northern is also associated with the fact that the northern SST cooling contributes greatly during the frontogenesis (Fig. 4a). Thus, the meridional Ekman convergence dominates the cold meridional advection, which may be related to the strengthening and southward migration of the Aleutian low from October to the following February. The associated westerly wind, together with the wind-driven southward currents, is strengthened and shifts southward to induce cooler SST in the northern NPSTF, favoring its frontogenesis.

Note that the rapid decrease of the net heat flux term in January is mainly due to the reduction of the latent heat flux term. The latent heat flux term can be calculated by \( Q_L = \rho_a L C_E U_{10m}(q_s - q_a) \), where \( L \) is the latent heat of vaporization, \( C_E \) is the bulk coefficient, \( U_{10m} \) represents the 10-m wind speed (Qiu et al., 2014). According to Eq. (2), the GM tendency is proportional to the meridional gradient of the 10-m wind speed \(( - \partial U_{10m}/\partial y \)) . Figure 10 shows the temporal evolutions of \(- \partial U_{10m}/\partial y\) across the NPSTF and GM tendency associated with the latent heat flux term. The meridional gradient of wind speed gradually decreases from October to the following February, consistent with the GM tendency calculated by the latent heat flux term,
especially from December to February. Interestingly, the decreasing $-\partial U_{10m}/\partial y$ is also consistent with the southward migration of the Aleutian low (blue line in Fig. 10). This southward shift leads to a gradual increase in the wind speed to the south of the Aleutian low (to the north of the NPSTF), corresponding to the decrease of $-\partial U_{10m}/\partial y$ between the NPSTF and its northern region, further resulting in the decease of the latent heat flux term during the frontogenesis. Therefore, the Aleutian low acts to decrease the effect of the net heat flux and to increase the effect of the meridional temperature advection during the frontogenesis, which may also play an important role in transforming the dominant effect of the net heat flux to the joint effect of the meridional temperature advection and net heat flux in January.

5. Conclusion and discussion

Previous studies have demonstrated that both net heat flux and meridional temperature advection in the ocean contribute to the NPSTF frontogenesis (Kazmin and Rienecker, 1996; Dinniman and Rienecker, 1999). However, relative importance of these two factors in the frontogenesis is not stated clearly. In this study, we investigated the frontogenesis of the NPSTF occurring from October to the following February based on the mixed-layer heat budget equation, and further find that the net heat flux and meridional temperature advection play different roles in the different periods of the frontogenesis. The net heat flux dominates the frontogenesis of the NPSTF from October to December, while the meridional temperature advection contributes equally as or even more than the net heat flux in January and February.
The zonal and vertical temperature advections can be neglected due to their smaller magnitudes, while $R$ acts to suppress the frontogenesis except in January.

Moreover, the role of the atmosphere in the frontogenesis is also explored, including the direct effect of the net heat flux and the indirect effect through the Aleutian low. A decomposition of the net heat flux term reveals that its four components jointly contribute to the frontogenesis, with a leading role by the latent heat flux in October and by shortwave radiation from November to the following February. Further analyses of atmospheric effects on the oceanic process show that the meridional Ekman convergence dominates the meridional temperature advection, and is associated with the Aleutian low variation. The strengthening and southward migration of the Aleutian low are characterized by the acceleration and southward shift of the westerly wind to the south, which benefits southward ocean currents. Accordingly, the cold meridional advection due to the southward currents induces cooler SST in the northern NPSTF than in the southern NPSTF, and favors the frontogenesis of the NPSTF in January and February. In addition, the reduction of the latent heat flux term (dominating the net heat flux term variation) during the frontogenesis also results from the southward shift of the Aleutian low, suggesting that the Aleutian low also plays a role in transforming the dominant effect of the net heat flux to the joint contributions of meridional temperature advection and the net heat flux in January.

Note that the residual term, including the sub-grid scale process, is relatively large in our results, which may be due to the eddy-induced heat fluxes. Wunsch (1999)
noted that eddy-induced heat fluxes are important relative to the total meridional heat fluxes in western boundary current regions of the North Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. Moreover, Qiu and Chen (2005) showed that the meridional eddy-induced heat fluxes over the subtropical North Pacific are both poleward for warm-core and cold-core eddies. Accordingly, the poleward eddy-induced heat fluxes tend to transport the warm water from lower latitude to the subtropics, and benefit the warmer water there. These findings are consistent with our result that the residual term leads to the increasing SST over the NPSTF. Thus, the eddy-induced heat flux may play an important role in the residual term to increase the SST and to further halt the frontogenesis (Figs. 4f and 5f). However, it is still hard to confirm this process at this stage because the spatial and temporal resolutions of observation and reanalysis data used in study are relatively coarse. Thus, further exploration is needed when finer data becomes available to us.
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Captions

Figure 1. Climatological meridional SST gradients (\(\left|\frac{\partial SST}{\partial y}\right|\), units: °C (100 km)\(^{-1}\)) in (a) winter, (b) spring, (c) summer, and (d) autumn. The winter refers to the time period of December in preceding year and January-February in the simultaneous year (DJF). The spring, summer and autumn refer to the time period of simultaneous March-April-May (MAM), June-July-August (JJA) and September-October-November (SON), respectively. The black boxes in (a) and (b) indicate the key area of the NPSTF.

Figure 2. (a) Latitude-time section of the climatological monthly mean mixed-layer depth (units: m) calculated by \(SST - T_H = 0.5^\circ\)C. (b) Latitude-depth section of the climatological zonal current velocity (black contour; units: m s\(^{-1}\)), superimposed with ocean temperature gradient (shading; units: °C (100 km)\(^{-1}\)); both are averaged over winter and spring. All three fields are averaged zonally over (140°E–170°W).

Figure 3. Latitude-time section of the climatological monthly mean gradient magnitude (GM) of the NPSTF (black contour; units: °C (100 km)\(^{-1}\)) and its tendency (shading; units: °C (100 km)\(^{-1}\) month\(^{-1}\)), averaged zonally over (140°E–170°W).

Figure 4. Latitude-time section of each term (shading; units: °C month\(^{-1}\)) in Eq. (1) from October to the following February, averaged zonally over (140°E–170°W). (a) shows the total SST tendency (\(\frac{\partial SST}{\partial t}\)), and (b–f) illustrate the components on the right-hand side of Eq. (1), namely, zonal temperature advection (Uadv),...
meridional temperature advection (Vadv), vertical temperature advection (Wadv),
the net heat flux (Qnet), and the residual term (R). The black contours in each
panel are the same, indicating the climatological monthly mean GM (units: °C
(100 km)^{-1}), averaged zonally over (140°E–170°W).

**Figure 5.** Same as Fig. 4, except for the terms (units: °C (100 km)^{-1} month^{-1}) in Eq. (2).

**Figure 6.** (a) The area mean GM tendency (units: °C (100 km)^{-1} month^{-1}) over the
NPSTF from October to the following February. (b) The contribution percentages
(units: %) of the right-hand side terms in Eq. (2) to the left-hand side term. (c)
Same as Fig. 6a, except using the Argo data from 2005 to 2013. The black dashed
line in (a) and (c) is the GM tendency of the NPSTF. Green, red, purple, blue, and
brown indicate zonal temperature advection (Uadv), meridional temperature
advection (Vadv), vertical temperature advection (Wadv), the net heat flux (Qnet),
and the residual term (R), respectively.

**Figure 7.** The contribution (units: °C (100 km)^{-1} month^{-1}) of the net heat flux term
and its individual component to the GM tendency over the NPSTF from October
to the following February: the net heat flux term (Q_{net}, blue), sensible heat flux
term (Q_S, green), latent heat flux term (Q_L, red), longwave radiation term (Q_{LR},
purple), and shortwave radiation term (Q_{SR}, brown).

**Figure 8.** Same as Fig. 5c, except for the meridional temperature advection term
calculated by the Ekman velocity.

**Figure 9.** Latitude-time sections of (a) the climatological monthly mean geopotential
height (shading; units: m² s⁻²) and zonal wind speed at 1000 hPa (black contour; units: m s⁻¹), (b) the climatological monthly mean meridional ocean currents (units: m s⁻¹). All variables are averaged zonally over (140°E–170°W).

**Figure 10.** Meridional gradient of 10-m wind speed (− ∂U₁₀m/∂y, black, units: 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹) and GM tendency calculated by the latent heat flux (Q_L, red, units: °C (100 km)⁻¹ month⁻¹) over the NPSTF. The blue curve (AL) is the latitude of climatological geopotential height at 900 m² s⁻² averaged zonally over (140°E–170°W), representing the southward migration of the Aleutian low.
Figure 1. Climatological meridional SST gradients ($|\partial SST/\partial y|$, units: °C (100 km)$^{-1}$) in (a) winter, (b) spring, (c) summer, and (d) autumn. The winter refers to the time period of December in preceding year and January-February in the simultaneous year (DJF). The spring, summer and autumn refer to the time period of simultaneous March-April-May (MAM), June-July-August (JJA) and September-October-November (SON), respectively. The black boxes in (a) and (b) indicate the key area of the NPSTF.
Figure 2. (a) Latitude-time section of the climatological monthly mean mixed-layer depth (units: m) calculated by $SST - T_h = 0.5^\circ C$. (b) Latitude-depth section of the climatological zonal current velocity (black contour; units: m s$^{-1}$), superimposed with ocean temperature gradient (shading; units: $^\circ C$ (100 km)$^{-1}$); both are averaged over winter and spring. All three fields are averaged zonally over (140°E–170°W).
Figure 3. Latitude-time section of the climatological monthly mean gradient magnitude (GM) of the NPSTF (black contour; units: °C (100 km)^{-1}) and its tendency (shading; units: °C (100 km)^{-1} month^{-1}), averaged zonally over (140°E–170°W).
Figure 4. Latitude-time section of each term (shading; units: °C month$^{-1}$) in Eq. (1) from October to the following February, averaged zonally over ($140°$E–$170°$W). (a) shows the total SST tendency ($\partial SST/\partial t$), and (b–f) illustrate the components on the right-hand side of Eq. (1), namely, zonal temperature advection ($U_{adv}$), meridional temperature advection ($V_{adv}$), vertical temperature advection ($W_{adv}$), the net heat flux ($Q_{net}$), and the residual term ($R$). The black contours in each panel are the same, indicating the climatological monthly mean GM (units: °C $(100$ km$)^{-1}$), averaged zonally over ($140°$E–$170°$W).
Figure 5. Same as Fig. 4, except for the terms (units: °C (100 km)^{-1} month^{-1}) in Eq. (2).
Figure 6. (a) The area mean GM tendency (units: °C (100 km)^{-1} month^{-1}) over the NPSTF from October to the following February. (b) The contribution percentages (units: %) of the right-hand side terms in Eq. (2) to the left-hand side term. (c) Same as Fig. 6a, except using the Argo data from 2005 to 2013. The black dashed line in (a) and (c) is the GM tendency of the NPSTF. Green, red, purple, blue, and brown indicate zonal temperature advection (Uadv), meridional temperature advection (Vadv), vertical temperature advection (Wadv), the net heat flux (Qnet), and the residual term (R), respectively.
Figure 7. The contribution (units: °C (100 km)⁻¹ month⁻¹) of the net heat flux term and its individual component to the GM tendency over the NPSTF from October to the following February: the net heat flux term (Q_{net}, blue), sensible heat flux term (Q_{s}, green), latent heat flux term (Q_{l}, red), longwave radiation term (Q_{LR}, purple), and shortwave radiation term (Q_{SR}, brown).
Figure 8. Same as Fig. 5c, except for the meridional temperature advection term calculated by the Ekman velocity.
Figure 9. Latitude-time sections of (a) the climatological monthly mean geopotential height (shading; units: m² s⁻²) and zonal wind speed at 1000 hPa (black contour; units: m s⁻¹), (b) the climatological monthly mean meridional ocean currents (units: m s⁻¹). All variables are averaged zonally over (140°E–170°W).
Figure 10. Meridional gradient of 10-m wind speed (− $\partial U_{10m}/\partial y$, black, units: $10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$) and GM tendency calculated by the latent heat flux ($Q_L$, red, units: °C (100 km)$^{-1}$ month$^{-1}$) over the NPSTF. The blue curve (AL) is the latitude of climatological geopotential height at 900 m$^2$ s$^{-2}$ averaged zonally over (140°E–170°W), representing the southward migration of the Aleutian low.