

Reviewer I comments:

P. 2, lines 15-17: I would emphasize the role of oxygen on N₂O production and consumption processes. Given the fact that oxygen concentrations show strong gradients and a large variability during the Major Baltic Inflow event, the sensitivity of N₂O production of nitrification and denitrification should be discussed more detailed.

- *P. 2, line 15-30: the description of N₂O production has been extended and new references have been added*

P. 3, lines 16-17 & P. 4, lines 1-4: please explain in more detail how the samples were drawn from the Niskin bottles and transferred into the Exetainers. Were the syringes directly connected to the Niskin bottles? How was the system flushed to enable bubblefree sampling of the Niskin bottles? How exactly were the samples transferred to the Exetainers and how was air contamination prevented?

- *P. 3, line 32: added “... with a short rubber tube ...”*
- *P. 4, line 2: added “through a stopcock”*
- *P. 4, line 4: added “Care was taken ...”*

P. 4, line 23: Equation (1) is not entirely correct: P_{atm} in the first term of the equation has to be given in Pascal since this term represents the ideal gas law.

- *P. 5, line 1: Clarified the calculation and added the missing step that explains the origin of P_{atm} .*

P. 9, lines 14-15: please add Löscher et al. (2012) as a reference for the oxygen dependency of N₂O production by nitrifying archaea: Löscher, C. R., Kock, A., Könneke, M., Laroche, J., Bange, H. W. and Schmitz, R. A.: Production of oceanic nitrous oxide by ammonia-oxidizing archaea, *Biogeosciences*, 9(7), 2419–2429, 2012.

- *P. 10, line 13: Reference added, also to the introduction*

Reviewer II comments:

Comments: The manuscript is in general well written and structured. Some parts could be more detailed. The literature cited is mostly adequate, citations need to be checked as discussion papers might be already published (see Bange et al. 2009, Walter et al. 2006).

- *Both references have been updated*

The abstract is quite general; for a first overview it might be helpful to also include main results and numbers.

- *The abstract has been slightly expanded and the main results have been included*

The Introduction part describes the processes very briefly and focus on the main pathways. For several statements (e.g. page 2, line 3-30) an additional citation of more recent publications would be preferable, as well as a more detailed description.

- *While the concise style of the introduction was a conscious decision in keeping with relative short format of the article, the description of N₂O production has been extended and new references have been added, also as requested by reviewer #1.*

The sentence “Both advective processes..” (page 2 / 3, line 30 / 1) belongs more to the conclusions than to the introduction.

- *Our aim was to investigate the various factors potentially contributing to removal of methane and feel that it is therefore relevant to introduce the main mechanisms in the beginning.*

In the Material and Methods part please include a detailed error description and estimation, especially with view on the gas transfers between several plastic syringes.

- *More detail about the sources and amounts of error have been added to the materials and methods section and a more in-depth description about the sources of error has been included in supplement text S1.*

Please explain the advantages of storing the samples as described.

- *The main advantage of transferring the samples into Exetainers already onboard is that they samples can be subsequently transported with ease to be analysed in the home lab. Sturm et al. (2015, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, Vol 13, Issue 7) have shown that there is no noticeable loss of gas from exetainers over several weeks of storage.*

Check the formula and its units.

- *The calculations have been updated and clarified*

Please include information why those 5 stations have been chosen and link them to previous Baltic Sea monitoring programmes.

- *All five stations have been consistently monitored for several decades for basic hydrographic parameters and have been used in numerous previous studies. The fieldwork for this study was conducted partly during monitoring cruises, hence the choice of stations was somewhat fixed. However, these stations represent well the conditions in both the eastern and western basin well and had the best monitoring coverage out of all stations based in the Gotland basin during the year after the inflow.*
- *P. 3, line 23, added: “All five stations ...”*

In the Discussion part the CH₄ dynamics in the EGB are not very clear described. Especially the CH₄:PO₄ ratio approach could be more detailed as the figure is relatively complex.

- *P. 9, line 5 reworded the original text to make it more accessible.*

Most of the figures are too small and included information is hardly readable. The information in the figure captures might be shortened or included in the text.

- *Font sizes of all figures will be increased*
- *Caption for Fig 2. Has been shortened*
- *Fig 1. (Map) has been updated*

Figure 4: if NH₄⁺ and NO_x⁻ have been measured at more than one station (BY15), it would be helpful to include the information into the overview Figure 2.

- *Both data are available. However, these data will be presented with greater detail in an upcoming companion paper and therefore we chose not to present them here.*

The information given in the supplementary part could be better introduced and referred to in the manuscript.

- *The supplementary material has been reorganised, expanded and references in the text have been revised.*

Effects of the 2014 Major Baltic Inflow on methane and nitrous oxide dynamics in the water column of the Central Baltic Sea

Jukka-Pekka Myllykangas¹, Tom Jilbert¹, Gunnar Jakobs^{1,2}, Gregor Rehder³, Jan Werner³, and Susanna Hietanen¹

¹Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 65, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

²Technical University of Denmark, Frederiksborgvej 399, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark

³Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde (IOW), Seestraße 15, D-18119 Rostock, Germany

Correspondence to: JP Myllykangas (jukka-pekka.myllykangas@helsinki.fi)

Abstract. In late 2014, a large, oxygen-rich salt water inflow entered the Baltic Sea and caused considerable changes in deep water oxygen concentrations. We studied the effects of the inflow on the concentration patterns of two greenhouse gases, methane and nitrous oxide, during the following year (2015) in the water column of the Gotland Basin. **In the Eastern basin, methane which had previously accumulated in the deep waters was largely removed during the year. Here, volume-weighted mean concentration below 70 m decreased from 108 nM in March to 16.3 nM over a period of 141 days (0.65 nM d^{-1}), predominantly due to oxidation (up to 79 %) following turbulent mixing with the oxygen-rich inflow. Methane which had previously accumulated in anoxic deep waters was largely removed from the Eastern Gotland Basin during the year, predominantly due to oxidation following turbulent mixing with the oxygen-rich inflow.** In contrast nitrous oxide, which was previously absent from deep waters, accumulated in deep waters due to enhanced nitrification following the inflow. **Volume-weighted mean concentration of nitrous oxide below 70 m increased from 11.8 nM in March to 24.4 nM in 141 days (0.09 nM d^{-1}).** A transient extreme accumulation of nitrous oxide (**877 nM**) was observed in the deep waters of the Eastern Gotland Basin towards the end of 2015, when deep waters turned anoxic again, sedimentary denitrification was induced **and methane was reintroduced to the bottom waters.** The Western Gotland Basin gas biogeochemistry was not affected by the inflow.

1 Introduction

15 The Baltic Sea is a shallow, semi-enclosed brackish water body. It receives large fresh water inputs from the rivers along its coast, but also exchanges saline water with the North Sea through the narrow Danish straits, principally via the Darss Sill and the Drogden Sill (Fig. 1). This leads to a semi-permanent stratification between relatively fresh surface waters and denser, more saline deep waters. Although intermediate-depth water masses in the southern areas of the Baltic Sea are ventilated frequently, deep waters of the central Baltic are renewed only during Major Baltic Inflow (MBI) events, during which large amounts
20 of saline oxygen-rich water enter the Baltic through the Danish straits over a short period of time (Schinke and Matthäus, 1998). These events require a specific sequence of weather conditions, occur exclusively in winter and have been occurring approximately once a decade in the recent past (Gräwe et al., 2015).

Due to the semi-permanent stratification, waters below the halocline of the Baltic Sea are typically anoxic (Carstensen et al., 2014) and contain large inventories of reduced compounds produced by microbial and abiotic reactions in the absence of oxygen (Neumann et al., 1997). Many biogeochemical processes are also active in the hypoxic boundary layers close to the halocline (Yakushev et al., 2007; Dellwig et al., 2010). When oxygen is introduced by MBIs, large quantities of the previously accumulated reduced compounds in the deep waters are subsequently oxidized (Reissmann et al., 2009) and new redox fronts develop between new and old water masses (Schmale et al., 2016). As such, MBIs have a strong influence on many biogeochemical processes in the Baltic Sea.

In this study we focus on two gases that are strongly influenced by the spatial distribution of hypoxia and anoxia in the Baltic Sea: methane (CH_4) (Schmale et al., 2012) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) (Hietanen et al., 2012). Both are important greenhouse gases, which also have effects on atmospheric chemistry (Crutzen, 1974; Cicerone and Oremland, 1988). Ambient oxygen concentrations regulate the microbial processes involved in the production and consumption of CH_4 and N_2O . CH_4 is produced in sediments in vast quantities by a unique group of archaea called methanogens (Balch et al., 1979). Methanogenesis is the lowest energy-yield pathway of the anaerobic decay of organic matter, which typically occurs when all other electron acceptors have been depleted (Thauer, 1998). The primary methanogenesis pathway in marine sediments is CO_2 reduction by hydrogenotrophic methanogens, while fermentative acetotrophic methanogenesis is the dominant pathway in freshwater sediments (Whiticar et al., 1986). Typically, most of the produced CH_4 is consumed in anaerobic and aerobic processes in both sediments and the water column before it can escape to the atmosphere (Reeburgh, 2007; Knittel and Boetius, 2009). N_2O is primarily produced by prokaryotes through both oxidative and reductive pathways: as a side product in nitrification (oxidation of ammonium, NH_4^+ , to nitrate, NO_3^-), and as an intermediate product in denitrification (reduction of NO_3^- to elemental nitrogen gas, N_2) under suboxic conditions (Anderson and Levine, 1986; Goreau et al., 1980; Bakker et al., 2014). The main biological pathways of N_2O production are highly dependent on the oxygen conditions and the availability of organic matter, nitrite (NO_2^-) and nitrate (Ward, 2013; Murray et al., 2015). In seas, nitrification has been considered the primary pathway of N_2O production (Freing et al., 2012), but recent studies have suggested that the role of incomplete denitrification in the oceanic oxygen minimum zones might have been previously underestimated (Babbin et al., 2015; Ji et al., 2015). Furthermore, it has been shown that archaeal nitrification dominates oceanic N_2O production and that this is much more sensitive to oxygen concentrations than bacterial nitrification (Löscher et al., 2012; Freing et al., 2012). N_2O is consumed exclusively under anoxic conditions during denitrification (Goreau et al., 1980; Wrage et al., 2001; Bakker et al., 2014). The highest accumulations of N_2O in marine waters are typically associated with spatial and temporal redox gradients, such as the margins of oceanic oxygen minimum zones (Naqvi et al., 2010) and dynamic systems including estuaries (Brase et al., 2017).

In the Baltic Sea, surface water concentrations of both gases typically exceed equilibrium with the sea-level atmosphere, indicating supersaturation and an efflux of CH_4 and N_2O from surface waters (Bange, 2006; Gülzow et al., 2013). However, the sub-halocline profiles of the two gases differ markedly. Deep waters are typically strongly enriched in CH_4 below the halocline during stagnation periods (Bange et al., 2010; Jakobs et al., 2013), while N_2O is usually absent (Brettar and Rheinheimer, 1991). Increased anthropogenic nutrient loading during the last century has been linked to the enhanced production of both gases in the Baltic Sea (Bange, 2006). In the global CH_4 budget, the flux from the oceans to the atmosphere is estimated

at approximately 2 % of total CH₄ emissions (Judd et al., 2002; Reeburgh, 2007), but estimates as high as 10 % have also been presented (Cicerone and Oremland, 1988). Shallow coastal areas and estuaries are an important component, potentially contributing up to 75 % of the total oceanic CH₄ flux (Bange et al., 1994). In the case of N₂O, the oceans are a much more important source in the global atmospheric budget, contributing up to 25 % of emissions (Nevison et al., 2003). However, there are large uncertainties in the role of coastal zones and estuaries. **Previous studies** have reported ranges from 11 % to 60 % of the total N₂O flux from the marine environment (Bange et al., 1996; Seitzinger and Kroeze, 1998). **In a more recent study, Naqvi et al. (2010) report a more conservative estimate of 16-33 %, though concede that large uncertainties still exist.**

In late December of 2014 a large MBI occurred, during which 198 km³ of water, containing 4 Gt of salt and 2.04 × 10⁶ t of oxygen, entered the Baltic through the Darss sill (Fig. 1). The inflow was the third largest MBI observed since 1880 (Gräwe et al., 2015; Mohrholz et al., 2015) and caused large changes in the dissolved oxygen concentrations throughout the southern and central Baltic Sea (Mohrholz et al., 2015). The inflow strongly impacted the vertical distribution of CH₄ in the Gotland Basin (Schmale et al., 2016), which is the largest sub-basin of the Baltic Sea. Both advective processes (displacement and dilution of old CH₄-rich deep waters by the CH₄-poor inflow water) and aerobic oxidation of CH₄ (stimulated by mixing at the contact between these water masses) contributed to a decline in [CH₄] during 2015.

Here we present a broader investigation of the spatial and temporal evolution of both CH₄ and N₂O concentrations following the inflow, along a transect of sites in both the Eastern and Western Gotland Basin. We discuss the roles of advection and microbial processing in the observed distributions, and the timescales of change in biogeochemical processes in response to the perturbation caused by the MBI.

2 Materials and Methods

Samples were collected on six cruises that took place between March and December 2015 on R/V Aranda and R/V Salme. Sampling covered the whole Gotland Basin, with three stations in the Eastern Gotland Basin (EGB): BY10, BY15 and BY20, and two stations in the western Basin (WGB): BY32 and BY38 (Fig. 1). **The coordinates, sampling times and depths of all stations are listed in supplementary materials in Table S4. All five stations have been monitored for several decades for basic hydrographic parameters and have been used in numerous previous studies.**

Water samples were collected with rosette water samplers with 12 Niskin bottles and salinity, temperature and oxygen data were generated with attached CTD probes (bottle sizes 1.7 and 5 L, CTD probes SeaBird SBE 19+ and SeaBird SBE 911+, for R/V Salme and R/V Aranda, respectively). Supplementary nutrient, oxygen and hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) monitoring data were provided by the Finnish Environment Institute (SYKE), Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI) and Tallinn University of Technology (TTU).

Dissolved gas samples were collected in triplicate by filling 60 mL plastic syringes directly from the Niskin bottles **with a 10 cm rubber tube that was flushed with sample water and from which visible bubbles were squeezed out prior to sampling.** The water volume in the syringe was reduced to 30 mL, a 3-way stopcock was attached to the syringe, and 31 mL of 5.0 purity N₂ gas was injected via the stopcock to create a headspace. Syringes were left at 20°C for 30 minutes and then vigorously shaken




Figure 1. Map of the study area showing the five sampling locations in the central Baltic Sea. The red arrows show the prevailing sub-halocline circulation of the central Baltic Sea, redrawn from Meier (2007). The sub-halocline circulation approximates the expected route of Major Baltic Inflows (MBIs) along the transect of study locations. The inset shows the connection of the Baltic Sea to the North Sea via the Danish Straits (DaS = Darss Sill, DrS = Drogden Sill). The numbers along the depth contours represent depth in meters.

for three minutes. At least 25 mL of the headspace was transferred into a dry syringe through a stopcock and then injected into a pre-evacuated 12 mL gas-tight glass vial with a 4 mm butyl rubber stopper (LabCo Exetainer™ model 839W). Care was taken to always keep the syringes closed with a stopcock.

The gas samples were analysed within five months from sampling using an Agilent Technologies 7890B gas chromatograph with a flame ionization detector (FID) for CH₄ and an electron capture detector (ECD) for N₂O, a 2.4 m Hayesep Q column with 1/8" connection, 80/100 mesh range and a 1.0 mL sample loop. Carrier gases were nitrogen and helium at 21 mL min⁻¹ flow rates, for N₂O and CH₄ respectively. The oven temperature was 60°C, and detection temperatures for FID and ECD were 250°C and 300°C respectively. The samples were injected using a Gilson GX-271 auto sampler. Raw peak area data were converted to mole fraction (ppm) using linear calibration with standards. For CH₄, a three-point calibration was used (0.46, 5 and 47 ppm), consisting of a standard gas mixture (AGA Gas AB, Lidingö, Sweden) with 5 ppm ± 2 % CH₄, which was diluted 1:10 with 5.0 purity N₂ to create the low standard. For the high standard, a 1000 ppm ± 2 % CH₄ gas mixture (Air Products PLC, Surrey, United Kingdom) was diluted 1:20 with 5.0 N₂. For N₂O, a two-point calibration (0.10 and 1.1 ppm) was used, consisting of a standard gas mixture (AGA Gas AB, Lidingö, Sweden) with 1.1 ppm ± 5 % N₂O, which was then diluted 1:10 with 5.0 N₂. Standard series were analysed prior to each analysis sequence (length of sequence was 40–120 samples) and fitted linearly for each sequence separately to correct for between-series drift. Standards containing 5 ppm CH₄ and 1.1 ppm N₂O were analysed after every 10 samples to monitor within-series drift (observed to be negligible).

Total *in situ* dissolved gas concentrations (C_{tot}) in mol/L were calculated from measured wet mole fraction (ppm) values in the headspace gas considering the ideal gas law ($pV = nRT$) and Henry's Law as per Buller (2008):

$$C_{tot} = \frac{\frac{P_{HS} \times V_{HS}}{R \times T} + \beta * P_{HS} * V_{Aq}}{V_{Aq}} \quad (1)$$

where P_{HS} is the partial pressure in the headspace, V_{HS} and V_{Aq} the syringe headspace and water volume respectively, R is the gas constant, T the temperature during equilibration (293 K), and β is the Bunsen solubility coefficient (in nmol/L) for each sample at *in situ* salinity, atmospheric pressure and 293 K, as detailed in Wiesenburg and Guinasso (1979) for CH_4 and Weiss and Price (1980) for N_2O . The calculation can be simplified by assuming that the total pressure (P_{atm}) during equilibration is 1 atm, from which follows that $P_{HS} = P_{atm} \times X_{HS}$. Thus, the contribution of the moles in the headspace (C_{HS}) and the contribution from gas remaining in the dissolved phase (C_{Aq}) can be calculated:

$$C_{tot} = \underbrace{\frac{(X_{HS} \times P_{atm} \times V_{HS})}{(R \times T \times V_{aq})}}_{C_{HS}} + \underbrace{\beta \times X_{HS} \times P_{atm}}_{C_{Aq}} \quad (2)$$

where X_{HS} is the mole fraction of the gas in the headspace in ppm.

Pre-evacuated Exetainers contain small amounts of air, which contaminates the gas samples, standards and blanks (Sturm et al., 2015). Because the concentration of CH_4 and N_2O in air is variable, the contamination also introduced imprecision to sample data. We calculated cut-off concentrations for both gases, below which measured values were considered indistinguishable from those of blank pre-evacuated Exetainers (see supplementary material Fig. S1). The determination of the cut-off value took into account both the mean contamination of blank samples and various sources of imprecision in sample data. The cut-off concentrations are approximately equivalent to 9–19 nM and 4–6 nM for CH_4 and N_2O , respectively (exact values are salinity-dependent). Precision, which includes errors introduced during transfer of gas samples between syringes and the variation in sample volumes in relation to the residual air in the Exetainers ~~Relative standard deviation (RSD)~~, of all reported data above the cut-off is <5 % relative standard deviation (RSD), as determined by triplicate analysis of all samples. In terms of accuracy, the residual air in the Exetainers caused an average underestimation of 0.9 % in CH_4 samples (full range for all reported samples -2.6 to 11.4 %) and a 1.1 % overestimation in N_2O samples (full range -2.7 to 10.1 %). Diffusive exchange through the plastic of the syringes was found to be negligible (<1 %). The errors inherent to the method do not affect any of the main results or conclusions presented here. For a detailed description of the error and the establishment of the cut-off, we refer the reader to the supplementary information.

3 Results

All stations exhibited strong salinity stratification on all sampling occasions, with clear differences between the surface and bottom water salinities (Fig. 2a). The halocline was typically at 60–80 m depth. We observed a net increase in the bottom water

salinities at BY15, BY20, BY32 and BY38 from March to December. Absolute bottom water salinities decreased along the expected route of the inflow (Fig. 1; Fig. 2a).

As expected, the earliest major impact of the inflow on deep water oxygen was observed at the southernmost station BY10, where oxygen was detected already in March (up to 84 μM in the bottom water, Fig. 2b). At this site, the oxygenated zone in the bottom water expanded upwards until June, with concentrations between 90 and 120 μM . However, $[\text{O}_2]$ started to decrease considerably in August and remained low thereafter, dropping to 5 μM in December. No H_2S was detected at any time at BY10. $[\text{CH}_4]$ remained low throughout, with the highest value of 97 nM measured in April at 125 m depth (Fig. 2c). $[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$ was between 6 and 22 nM, with the lowest concentration found in June at 100 m depth, and the highest in December in the deepest 144 m sample (Fig. 2d).

At the deepest station, BY15, in the central EGB, oxygen was also detected in the bottom water in March, although the concentration was lower than at BY10 (50 μM , Fig. 2b). In the early part of the year, BY15 had a completely anoxic midwater layer from 100–175 m (Fig. 2b), which contained up to 21 μM H_2S in April. The anoxic layer diminished over time, and had completely disappeared by August, as the oxic zone in the deep waters expanded vertically over this period. The highest bottom water $[\text{O}_2]$ of 177 μM was measured in April (Fig. 2b). $[\text{CH}_4]$ was relatively low throughout, except for the anoxic layer, where concentrations of up to 217 nM were measured in March. By December, bottom water $[\text{O}_2]$ had decreased to below 10 μM and $[\text{CH}_4]$ of up to 158 nM were measured in the bottom water. Also, slightly elevated $[\text{CH}_4]$ and $[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$ were detected in October and December at 90–125 m (Fig. 2c). Minimal amounts of N_2O were found within the anoxic midwater layer of BY15, but concentrations of 18–20 nM could be detected around its upper and lower boundaries (Fig. 2d). In October however, very high $[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$ (877 nM) was measured at 225 m. The extreme concentrations had decreased by December, but still remained relatively high (41 nM at 225 m and 236 m) compared to previous months (Fig. 2d).

At the northernmost station of the EGB, BY20, $[\text{O}_2]$ were very low or zero below 70–80 m (Fig. 2b) and H_2S was found in the bottom water on all sampling occasions, with concentrations up to 33 μM in August. Bottom water was devoid of N_2O and $[\text{CH}_4]$ remained high (299–525 nM) (Fig. 2c), except in October when bottom water $[\text{CH}_4]$ decreased to 91 nM and $[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$ increased to 151 nM (Fig. 2d). Concentrations of both gases had returned to typical values by December. In August, a transient midwater $[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$ maximum of 36 nM was found at 100 m depth and in October and December $[\text{CH}_4]$ of up to 230 nM were observed at 90 m depth.

In the WGB at BY32 and BY38, no oxygen was detected below the halocline at any time (Fig. 2b), and H_2S was present in all bottom water samples. Bottom water $[\text{CH}_4]$ displayed large variation between 308 and 726 nM (Fig. 2c), and $[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$ was consistently below the cut-off value, indicating values close to zero (Fig. 2d). At both stations, a strong shoaling of the halocline could be observed over the course of the year (Fig. 2a), with the CH_4 -enriched deep water mass expanding from 125 to 80 m at BY32, and from 90 to 70 m at BY38.




Figure 2. Bilinearly interpolated profiles of a) CTD salinity, b) CTD oxygen (μM , shading denotes presence of H_2S), c) $[\text{CH}_4]$ (nM) and d) $[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$ (nM) from all sampling stations at all sampling times of the survey. The x-axis covers the period 17 March 2015 to 13 December 2015. Precise sampling dates in the CTD profiles are indicated by the black notches at the top of each panel. Black notches in a) and b) indicate sampling dates, while the narrower bars marked with an asterisk represent the salinity and oxygen conditions in June 2014, prior to the MBI. Circles in columns c) and d) represent gas sampling depths and times, while infill color is the non-interpolated original concentration on the corresponding dates of sampling. The color of the circle infill indicates measured concentrations according to the same scaling as the interpolation. Note that all CH_4 and N_2O data below the cut-off were set to cut-off prior to interpolation (See supplement S1 for details) values of peak area during GC analysis (approximately equivalent to 9–19 nM and 4–6 nM for $[\text{CH}_4]$ and $[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$, respectively. See supplement S1 for details) were set to the cut-off value prior to interpolation. The presence of H_2S is denoted with the black shading in column b). Note also that the deepest gas sampling depth varied slightly between sampling times and that in June samples below 135 m were lost at BY15.

4 Discussion

4.1 Spatial impacts of the MBI

Major Baltic Inflows usually progress northwards through the EGB, encircle the island of Gotland counterclockwise, and finally move southwards through the WGB (Meier, 2007; Lessin et al., 2014). However, the MBI of 2014 had not reached the WGB by the end of 2015. Although bottom water salinities increased in the WGB during 2015 (Fig. 2a), the increase was continuous throughout the year and not accompanied by changes in oxygen conditions. The changes observed in the salinity of the WGB early in the year were therefore likely unrelated to the inflow. Based on 16 years of monitoring data (shown in the supplementary material Fig S3), the WGB experiences annual to multi-annual cycles of shoaling and deepening of the halocline, which are related to downward erosion of the halocline by winter storms (Reissmann et al., 2009). The resulting changes in salinity and oxygen conditions in the 60–100 m depth interval dictate the distribution of CH₄ (Jakobs et al., 2014) and N₂O in the WGB. [CH₄] is higher below the halocline, while [N₂O] is higher above it (Fig. 2c; Fig. 2d).

In the EGB, in contrast, large impacts of the 2014 MBI could be observed throughout 2015. Already by March, oxic water and noticeable changes in salinity were detected in the deepest part of the Eastern Gotland Basin (site BY15). These initial signals were a combination of new inflowing water and water pushed out of the Bornholm Basin ahead of the inflow (Schmale et al., 2016), as also occurred during the MBI of 2003 (Feistel et al., 2003). Over the following months, a distinct mass of saline, oxic inflow water accumulated in the EGB. At BY10 and BY15, oxygen was present below the halocline for much of 2015. However, [O₂] declined again towards the end of the year (Fig. 2b). Such a decline was also observed following the 2003 MBI (Walter et al., 2006), and indicates that the capacity of MBIs to ventilate the Baltic is short-lived. Introduced oxygen is expected to be consumed simultaneously by a range of electron donors, including hydrogen sulphide (H₂S), ammonium, reduced forms of manganese and iron, and CH₄, which are all present in the stagnant deep waters. Both the physical effects of the inflow (displacement of water masses) and the subsequent evolution of redox conditions throughout 2015, had strong impacts on the distribution of CH₄ and N₂O at the EGB sites (BY10, BY15 and BY20).

4.2 CH₄ dynamics in the EGB

Upon arrival of an MBI into the EGB, the former bottom water mass is typically displaced vertically upwards and northwards (Reissmann et al., 2009). This displacement of CH₄-rich stagnant deep water by CH₄-poor inflow water may deplete the inventory of CH₄ in the water column of the EGB. However, due to turbulent mixing at the contact between the inflow and older water masses (Schmale et al., 2016), oxidation of CH₄ may also be expected to accelerate the depletion of CH₄. In 2015, we observed the gradual erosion of a mid-water [CH₄] maximum between March and August, followed by a re-accumulation of CH₄ in both mid- and deep-water towards the end of the year (Fig. 2). To estimate the relative effects of displacement and oxidation on the CH₄ inventory during the studied period, we compared the CH₄ inventory with that of phosphate (PO₄³⁻) at BY15 (Fig. 3). Changes in deep water [PO₄³⁻] in the EGB following an MBI have been shown to be predominantly controlled by displacement (Schneider, 2011), due to the fact that a stagnant PO₄³⁻-rich bottom water mass is displaced by a PO₄³⁻-poor inflow, and comparatively little PO₄³⁻ is sequestered into sediments over the following months despite the expansion of oxic

conditions. The logic of the apparent slow response in the redox-sensitivity of PO_4^{3-} is that PO_4^{3-} sequestration requires the presence of solid-phase iron oxyhydroxides, which are not available in the open water column where the majority of the PO_4^{3-} is located. Accordingly, Schneider (2011) estimated that two-thirds of the decline in deep water $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]$ in the EGB following the MBI of 2003 could be attributed to displacement.




Figure 3. Evolution of the inventories of CH_4 (solid blue line with dots) and PO_4^{3-} (solid red line with squares) below 70 m at BY15, and the molar inventory ratio $\text{CH}_4:\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ over the year 2015 (solid black line with crosses). Results from all measured depths at all sampling times were included in the integration, with the exception data from June, which was omitted due to the loss of deep water CH_4 samples. Pictured also is the hypothetical evolution of the CH_4 inventory (dashed blue line with dots) if the $\text{CH}_4:\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ratio (dashed black line with crosses) had remained constant during the year, i.e. the effect of water mass displacement was equal for CH_4 and PO_4^{3-} . The lighter grey area represents the estimated loss of CH_4 due to oxidation and the darker grey represents the loss due to displacement. Note the different scales of the y-axes.

- 5 In the hypothetical scenario in which the decline in both CH_4 and PO_4^{3-} inventories from March to August 2015 was controlled by displacement only, the molar ratio of $\text{PO}_4^{3-}:\text{CH}_4$ would be expected to remain constant. If displacement had accounted for a similar proportion of the decline in both the CH_4 and PO_4^{3-} inventories during March to August 2015, it follows that the molar ratio of PO_4^{3-} to CH_4 would have remained constant during this period. Instead, we observe that the inventory ratio of $\text{PO}_4^{3-}:\text{CH}_4$ declined rapidly during this period in the first half of the year, implying a far stronger impact of
- 10 oxidation on CH_4 than on PO_4^{3-} . Indeed, the CH_4 inventory was depleted from ~ 18 to ~ 3 mMol m^{-2} over this interval, while the PO_4^{3-} inventory declined only slightly, from ~ 480 to ~ 400 mMol m^{-2} (Fig. 3). These results provide strong evidence for significant aerobic oxidation of CH_4 in the water column as a consequence of the MBI, which concurs with a recent study in which *in situ* oxidation rates were measured (Schmale et al., 2016).

When expressed as volume-weighted averages (below 70 m), the observed decline in $[\text{CH}_4]$ over March to August 2015 was from 108 nM in March to 16.3 nM in August. This is a period of 141 days, giving a total rate of loss of 0.65 nM d^{-1} , of which 0.51 nM d^{-1} (79 %) is potentially due to oxidation, based on the results shown in Fig. 3. Schmale et al. (2016) reported CH_4 oxidation rates of up to 0.9 nM d^{-1} and elevated cell counts for methane-oxidizing bacteria at central EGB in March 2015. Such oxidation rates are 2–10 times higher compared to stagnation conditions (Jakobs et al., 2014). The introduction of a second, deeper redoxcline and active turbulent mixing processes clearly accelerate CH_4 oxidation following an MBI by enhancing the volume of water in which CH_4 and O_2 come into contact. It should be noted, however, that the Schmale et al. (2016) study focused specifically on high turbulence transition zones and thus reports localized maximum estimates of CH_4 oxidation rates. In contrast, our study provides a first order estimate of the bulk oxidation rate the sub-halocline water column at site BY15.

4.3 N_2O dynamics in the EGB

Under stagnation conditions, the deep anoxic waters of the EGB are almost entirely devoid of N_2O (Brettar and Rheinheimer, 1991), but the hypoxic margins are hotspots for N_2O production, similar to oceanic oxygen minimum zones (Babbin et al., 2015; Löscher et al., 2012). The accumulation of N_2O over time after an MBI is related to the formation of large hypoxic water masses. N_2O production is highest under nearly anoxic conditions, where oxygen concentrations restrain both nitrification (too little oxygen) and denitrification (too much oxygen). Both processes produce N_2O , with a higher N_2O yield in oxygen stress (Goreau et al., 1980; Patureau et al., 1994; Ji et al., 2015). In addition, when nitrifying microbes become oxygen limited, they too, start to reduce NO_2^- to N_2O (Poth and Focht, 1985; Wilson et al., 2014).

For the most part, the N_2O concentrations measured in the oxic waters of this study were between 10–20 nM, which is well in agreement with previous studies (Bange, 2006; Walter et al., 2006). The volume-weighted average $[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$ below 70 m depth at BY15 increased from 11.8 nM to 24.4 nM from March to August (141 d), giving a net increase rate of 0.09 nM d^{-1} . Walter et al. (2006) reported a similar increase rate of 0.105 nM d^{-1} from the whole water column below 70 m in the EGB, over a period of 167 days after the 2003 MBI, which they ascribed largely to nitrification. We observed a decline in $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ in the mid-water layer at BY15 over the period March to August 2015 (Fig. 4). The decline in $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ resembled the loss of CH_4 over the same period, and was coupled to increasing $[\text{NO}_2^-]$ and $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ (Fig. 4). These observations strongly suggest that oxidation of NH_4^+ (i.e. nitrification) following the MBI was the main pathway of N_2O production during the first half of 2015.

One of the most interesting observations in this study was the extremely high $[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$ (877 nM) measured at 225 m at BY15 in October, which is to our knowledge the second highest value ever reported from the Baltic Sea (Rönner (1983) reported 1523 nM at BY38 bottom water in the WGB), and several times higher than the concentrations typically found from the Baltic (Brettar and Rheinheimer, 1991; Bange et al., 1996; Bange, 2006; Walter et al., 2006). The oxygen concentration at the depth of the extreme $[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$ in this study was below $1 \mu\text{M}$, which is comparable to values previously observed in settings of high N_2O production elsewhere in the ocean (Naqvi et al., 2010; Babbin et al., 2015). The large drop in the $[\text{NO}_2^-]$ and $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ below 200 m at BY15 from October onwards (Fig. 4) suggests that the rate of benthic denitrification increased towards the end of 2015. Simultaneously increasing $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ indicate a slowing down of rates of nitrification and possibly enhanced DNRA (Jäntti and




Figure 4. Ammonium (NH_4^+ , filled circles, solid line) and combined nitrite-nitrate (NO_x^- , open circles, dotted line) concentrations (μM) at BY15 over the whole sampling period.

Hietanen, 2012). Hence, the transitional conditions between nitrification and denitrification regimes towards the end of 2015 appear to have favored an extreme, short-lived accumulation of N_2O in the deep waters of the EGB. This may be seen as a delayed, but important consequence of the MBI on nitrogen cycling in the Baltic.

4.4 Processes at the northern limit of the MBI

5 As MBIs progress northwards, the density differences between the old and new water masses are weakened and the interactions become more complex and difficult to predict (Eilola et al., 2014). Inflowing water masses detach into intrusive layers (Baines, 2001) which may interact chaotically under turbulent flow. Site BY20 is situated near the northern margin of the EGB and represents the northernmost limit of the observable effects of the 2014 MBI. In this zone, various physical factors, e.g. turbulent mixing and shearing, internal waves, and boundary waves breaking against the sloping seabed (Reissmann et al., 2009; Eilola et al., 2014; Schmale et al., 2016) likely created a complex and temporally variable vertical zonation of redox conditions during 2015. Despite the persistence of H_2S at BY20 throughout the year, the CH_4 and N_2O distributions are highly variable (Fig. 2), suggesting an impact of oxidation and reduction processes related to the inflow. For example, a large enrichment of N_2O was observed in the near bottom water of BY20 in October, similar to that observed at BY15, and the CH_4 and N_2O distributions generally anti-correlate as observed throughout our data from all stations. We interpret the observed patterns at
 10
 15 BY20 as evidence for a dynamic water column at this site, with mobile interleaved layers which may carry a displaced signal of redox processes occurring further south (e.g. CH_4 oxidation, nitrification).

5 Conclusions

The Major Baltic Inflow of 2014 caused considerable changes in oxygen conditions of the Eastern Gotland Basin, which had extensive effects on the CH_4 and N_2O dynamics of the Basin. CH_4 mostly disappeared from the eastern basin during the
 20 first half of 2015, mainly due to oxidation following turbulent mixing between old and new water masses. However, CH_4

began to accumulate again by the end of the year, as deep water conditions reverted to anoxia. Enhanced N_2O production was evident throughout the Eastern Gotland Basin during 2015, attributed primarily to nitrification as a consequence of the MBI. Extreme values of N_2O near the seafloor in late 2015 were likely caused by a combination of nitrification and denitrification under transitional conditions. The northern limit of the effect of the MBI on CH_4 and N_2O dynamics appears to have been the northern part of the Eastern Gotland Basin, and even here direct oxygenation of the deep waters was not observed. The Western Gotland Basin CH_4 and N_2O biogeochemistry was not influenced by the inflow at any point during the study period.

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge the two anonymous reviewers and thank for their input. This research was funded by the Academy of Finland projects 139267, 272964 and 267112. The research leading to these results has also received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 312762. We would like to extend our thanks to SYKE, SMHI and Tallinn University of Technology for the supplementary data and for allowing us on board their cruises, and to the crews and captains of R/V Aranda and R/V Salme.

References

- Anderson, I. C. and Levine, J. S.: Relative rates of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide production by nitrifiers, denitrifiers, and nitrate respirers., *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 51, 938–45, 1986.
- Babbin, A. R., Bianchi, D., Jayakumar, A., and Ward, B. B.: Rapid nitrous oxide cycling in the suboxic ocean, *Science*, 348, 1127–1129, doi:10.1126/science.aaa8380, 2015.
- Baines, P. G.: Mixing in flows down gentle slopes into stratified environments, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 443, 237–270, doi:10.1017/S0022112001005250, 2001.
- Bakker, D. C., Bange, H. W., Gruber, N., Johannassen, T., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., Borges, A. V., Delille, B., Loscher, C. R., Naqvi, W. A., Omar, A. M., and Santana-Casiano, M.: Air-Sea Interactions of Natural Long-Lived Greenhouse Gases (CO₂, N₂O, CH₄) in a Changing Climate, in: *Ocean-Atmosphere Interactions of Gases and Particles*, edited by Liss, P. S. and Johnson, M. T., pp. 55–112, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-25643-1, 2014.
- Balch, W. E., Fox, G. E., Magrum, L. J., Woese, C. R., and Wolfe, R. S.: Methanogens: reevaluation of a unique biological group., *Microbiological reviews*, 43, 260–96, 1979.
- Bange, H. W.: Nitrous oxide and methane in European coastal waters, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 70, 361–374, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2006.05.042, 2006.
- Bange, H. W., Bartell, U. H., Rapsomanikis, S., and Andreae, M. O.: Methane in the Baltic and North Seas and a reassessment of the marine emissions of methane, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 8, 465–480, doi:10.1029/94GB02181, 1994.
- Bange, H. W., Rapsomanikis, S., and Andreae, M. O.: Nitrous oxide in coastal waters, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 10, 197–207, doi:10.1029/95GB03834, 1996.
- Bange, H. W., Bergmann, K., Hansen, H. P., Kock, A., Koppe, R., Malien, F., and Ostrau, C.: Dissolved methane during hypoxic events at the Boknis Eck time series station (Eckernförde Bay, SW Baltic Sea), *Biogeosciences*, 7, 1279–1284, doi:10.5194/bg-7-1279-2010, 2010.
- Brase, L., Bange, H. W., Lendt, R., Sanders, T., and Dähnke, K.: High Resolution Measurements of Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) in the Elbe Estuary, *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 4, 1–11, doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00162, 2017.
- Brettar, I. and Rheinheimer, G.: Denitrification in the Central Baltic: evidence for H₂S-oxidation as motor of denitrification at the oxic-anoxic interface, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 77, 157–169, doi:10.3354/meps077157, 1991.
- Buller, S.: Methane Distributions at Logatchev Hydrothermal Field at 14°45'N on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, Master's thesis, der Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, 2008.
- Carstensen, J., Conley, D. J., Bonsdorff, E., Gustafsson, B. G., Hietanen, S., Janas, U., Jilbert, T., Maximov, A., Norkko, A., Norkko, J., Reed, D. C., Slomp, C. P., Timmermann, K., and Voss, M.: Hypoxia in the Baltic Sea: Biogeochemical Cycles, Benthic Fauna, and Management, *AMBIO*, 43, 26–36, doi:10.1007/s13280-013-0474-7, 2014.
- Cicerone, R. J. and Oremland, R. S.: Biogeochemical aspects of atmospheric methane, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 2, 299–327, doi:10.1029/GB002i004p00299, 1988.
- Crutzen, P. J.: Estimates of Possible Variations in Total Ozone Due to Natural Causes and Human Activities, *Ambio*, 3, 201–210, 1974.
- Dellwig, O., Leipe, T., März, C., Glockzin, M., Pollehne, F., Schnetger, B., Yakushev, E. V., Böttcher, M. E., and Brumsack, H.-J.: A new particulate Mn–Fe–P-shuttle at the redoxcline of anoxic basins, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 74, 7100–7115, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.09.017, 2010.

- Eilola, K., Almroth-Rosell, E., and Meier, H. E. M.: Impact of saltwater inflows on phosphorus cycling and eutrophication in the Baltic Sea: a 3D model study, *Tellus A*, 66, 1–17, doi:10.3402/tellusa.v66.23985, 2014.
- Feistel, R., Nausch, G., Matthäus, W., and Hagen, E.: Temporal and spatial evolution of the Baltic deep water renewal in spring 2003, *Oceanologia*, 45, 623–642, 2003.
- 5 Freing, A., Wallace, D. W. R., and Bange, H. W.: Global oceanic production of nitrous oxide, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367, 1245–1255, doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0360, 2012.
- Goreau, T. J., Kaplan, W. A., Wofsy, S. C., Mcelroy, M. B., Valois, F. W., and Watson, S. W.: Production of nitrite and nitrous oxide by nitrifying bacteria at reduced concentrations of oxygen, *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 40, 526–532, 1980.
- Gräwe, U., Naumann, M., Mohrholz, V., and Burchard, H.: Anatomizing one of the largest saltwater inflows into the Baltic Sea in December 2014, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 120, 7676–7697, doi:10.1002/2015JC011269, 2015.
- 10 Gülzow, W., Rehder, G., Schneider v. Deimling, J., Seifert, T., and Tóth, Z.: One year of continuous measurements constraining methane emissions from the Baltic Sea to the atmosphere using a ship of opportunity, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 81–99, doi:10.5194/bg-10-81-2013, 2013.
- Hietanen, S., Jäntti, H., Buizert, C., Jürgens, K., Labrenz, M., and Voss, M.: Hypoxia and nitrogen processing in the Baltic Sea water column, *Limnology & Oceanography*, 57, 325–337, doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.1.0325, 2012.
- 15 Jakobs, G., Rehder, G., Jost, G., Kießlich, K., Labrenz, M., and Schmale, O.: Comparative studies of pelagic microbial methane oxidation within the redox zones of the Gotland Deep and Landsort Deep (central Baltic Sea), *Biogeosciences*, 10, 7863–7875, doi:10.5194/bg-10-7863-2013, 2013.
- Jakobs, G., Holtermann, P., Berndmeyer, C., Rehder, G., Blumenberg, M., Jost, G., Nausch, G., and Schmale, O.: Seasonal and 20 spatial methane dynamics in the water column of the central Baltic Sea (Gotland Sea), *Continental Shelf Research*, 91, 12–25, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2014.07.005, 2014.
- Jäntti, H. and Hietanen, S.: The Effects of Hypoxia on Sediment Nitrogen Cycling in the Baltic Sea, *AMBIO*, 41, 161–169, doi:10.1007/s13280-011-0233-6, 2012.
- Ji, Q., Babbín, A. R., Jayakumar, A., Oleynik, S., and Ward, B. B.: Nitrous oxide production by nitrification and denitrification in the Eastern 25 Tropical South Pacific oxygen minimum zone, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42, 10,755–10,764, doi:10.1002/2015GL066853, 2015.
- Judd, A. G., Hovland, M., Dimitrov, L. I., Garcia Gil, S., and Jukes, V.: The geological methane budget at Continental Margins and its influence on climate change, *Geofluids*, 2, 109–126, doi:10.1046/j.1468-8123.2002.00027.x, 2002.
- Knittel, K. and Boetius, A.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane: progress with an unknown process., *Annual review of microbiology*, 63, 311–34, doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.61.080706.093130, 2009.
- 30 Lessin, G., Raudsepp, U., and Stips, A.: Modelling the influence of major baltic inflows on near-bottom conditions at the entrance of the Gulf of Finland, *PLoS ONE*, 9, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112881, 2014.
- Löscher, C. R., Kock, A., Könneke, M., LaRoche, J., Bange, H. W., and Schmitz, R. A.: Production of oceanic nitrous oxide by ammonia-oxidizing archaea, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 2419–2429, doi:10.5194/bg-9-2419-2012, 2012.
- Meier, H.: Modeling the pathways and ages of inflowing salt- and freshwater in the Baltic Sea, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 74, 35 610–627, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2007.05.019, 2007.
- Mohrholz, V., Naumann, M., Nausch, G., Krüger, S., and Gräwe, U.: Fresh oxygen for the Baltic Sea - An exceptional saline inflow after a decade of stagnation, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 148, 152–166, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.03.005, 2015.

- Murray, R. H., Erler, D. V., and Eyre, B. D.: Nitrous oxide fluxes in estuarine environments: response to global change, *Global Change Biology*, 21, 3219–3245, doi:10.1111/gcb.12923, 2015.
- Naqvi, S. W. A., Bange, H. W., Farias, L., Monteiro, P. M. S., Scranton, M. I., and Zhang, J.: Marine hypoxia/anoxia as a source of CH₄ and N₂O, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 2159–2190, doi:10.5194/bg-7-2159-2010, 2010.
- 5 Neumann, T., Christiansen, C., Clasen, S., Emeis, K.-C., and Kundendorf, H.: Geochemical records of salt-water inflows into the deep basins of the Baltic Sea, *Continental Shelf Research*, 17, 95–115, doi:10.1016/0278-4343(96)00023-4, 1997.
- Nevison, C., Butler, J. H., and Elkins, J. W.: Global distribution of N₂O and the Δ N₂O-AOU yield in the subsurface ocean, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 17, doi:10.1029/2003GB002068, 2003.
- Patureau, D., Davison, J., Bernet, N., and Moletta, R.: Denitrification under various aeration conditions in *Comamonas* sp., strain SGLY2, *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 14, 71–78, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.1994.tb00092.x, 1994.
- 10 Poth, M. and Focht, D. D.: N Kinetic Analysis of N(2)O Production by *Nitrosomonas europaea*: an Examination of Nitrifier Denitrification., *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 49, 1134–41, 1985.
- Reeburgh, W. S.: Oceanic methane biogeochemistry., *Chemical reviews*, 107, 486–513, doi:10.1021/cr050362v, 2007.
- Reissmann, J. H., Burchard, H., Feistel, R., Hagen, E., Lass, H. U., Mohrholz, V., Nausch, G., Umlauf, L., and Wicczorek, G.: Vertical mixing
15 in the Baltic Sea and consequences for eutrophication - A review, *Progress in Oceanography*, 82, 47–80, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2007.10.004, 2009.
- Rönnner, U.: Distribution, production and consumption of nitrous oxide in the Baltic Sea, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 47, 2179–2188, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(83)90041-8, 1983.
- Schinke, H. and Matthäus, W.: On the causes of major Baltic inflows - an analysis of long time series, *Continental Shelf Research*, 18, 67–97,
20 doi:10.1016/S0278-4343(97)00071-X, 1998.
- Schmale, O., Blumenberg, M., Kießlich, K., Jakobs, G., Berndmeyer, C., Labrenz, M., Thiel, V., and Rehder, G.: Aerobic methanotrophy within the pelagic redox-zone of the Gotland Deep (central Baltic Sea), *Biogeosciences*, 9, 4969–4977, doi:10.5194/bg-9-4969-2012, 2012.
- Schmale, O., Krause, S., Holtermann, P., Power Guerra, N. C., and Umlauf, L.: Dense bottom gravity currents and their impact on pelagic
25 methanotrophy at oxic/anoxic transition zones, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 5225–5232, doi:10.1002/2016GL069032, 2016.
- Schneider, B.: PO₄ release at the sediment surface under anoxic conditions: a contribution to the eutrophication of the Baltic Sea?, *Oceanologia*, 53, 415–429, doi:10.5697/oc.53-1-TI.415, 2011.
- Seitzinger, S. P. and Kroeze, C.: Global distribution of nitrous oxide production and N inputs in freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 12, 93–113, doi:10.1029/97GB03657, 1998.
- 30 Sturm, K., Keller-Lehmann, B., Werner, U., Raj Sharma, K., Grinham, A. R., and Yuan, Z.: Sampling considerations and assessment of Exetainer usage for measuring dissolved and gaseous methane and nitrous oxide in aquatic systems, *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, 13, 375–390, doi:10.1002/lom3.10031, 2015.
- Thauer, R. K.: Biochemistry of methanogenesis: a tribute to Marjory Stephenson:1998 Marjory Stephenson Prize Lecture, *Microbiology*, 144, 2377–2406, doi:10.1099/00221287-144-9-2377, 1998.
- 35 Walter, S., Breitenbach, U., Bange, H. W., Nausch, G., and Wallace, D. W. R.: Distribution of N₂O in the Baltic Sea during transition from anoxic to oxic conditions, *Biogeosciences*, 3, 557–570, doi:10.5194/bg-3-557-2006, 2006.
- Ward, B.: Nitrification, in: Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences, March, pp. 1–8, Elsevier, doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.00697-7, 2013.

- Weiss, R. and Price, B.: Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater, *Marine Chemistry*, 8, 347–359, doi:10.1016/0304-4203(80)90024-9, 1980.
- Whiticar, M., Faber, E., and Schoell, M.: Biogenic methane formation in marine and freshwater environments: CO₂ reduction vs. acetate fermentation - Isotope evidence, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 50, 693–709, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(86)90346-7, 1986.
- 5 Wiesenburg, D. A. and Guinasso, N. L.: Equilibrium solubilities of methane, carbon monoxide, and hydrogen in water and sea water, *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data*, 24, 356–360, doi:10.1021/jc60083a006, 1979.
- Wilson, S. T., del Valle, D. A., Segura-Noguera, M., and Karl, D. M.: A role for nitrite in the production of nitrous oxide in the lower euphotic zone of the oligotrophic North Pacific Ocean, *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 85, 47–55, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2013.11.008, 2014.
- 10 Wrage, N., Velthof, G., van Beusichem, M., and Oenema, O.: Role of nitrifier denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33, 1723–1732, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00096-7, 2001.
- Yakushev, E., Pollehne, F., Jost, G., Kuznetsov, I., Schneider, B., and Umlauf, L.: Analysis of the water column oxic/anoxic interface in the Black and Baltic seas with a numerical model, *Marine Chemistry*, 107, 388–410, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2007.06.003, 2007.