

Responses to referees:

Ced Hesse:

Thank you very much for the positive notes on the relevance of the paper, the structure of the text as well as acknowledging the value of the relatively limited survey. The three constructive suggestions for changes can be dealt with relatively easily:

- 1) Making it more explicit that it is the failure or inexistence of appropriate institutions for managing competition for resources and enhancing cooperation.
 - a. We agree that this is a crucial element and this could be done by using more of the existing literature on how governments have dealt (or not dealt) with issues such as farmer-herder conflicts. We have tried to expand this part of the paper although there is relatively limited research available.
- 2) A presentation of the profile of the respondents and an assessment, if possible, of their understanding of the complexities of the dynamics of Sahelian production systems would help in appreciating the relevance of the results.
 - a. Further presentation of their profiles is no problem, but it would be more daring to assess their understanding of complexities of the Sahelian production systems. However, we do have quotes from the workshop that clearly show strong differences in understandings, e.g. the participants from eastern Niger had a much more pronounced and detailed knowledge of pastoral issues compared to others. We can – with caution – integrate this in the text.
- 3) A better explanation of how zoning is understood given that government efforts have failed in Burkina Faso and northern Nigeria
 - a. We can easily explain the concept of zoning and how it is done differently by governments or by pastoralists themselves. We were of the impression that zoning in northern Burkina Faso had been somewhat successful, but this was information from the late 2000s and might not be relevant anymore. It would be great if the reviewer could direct us to literature on this failure as we are not aware of it. We use some references from Niger, where the zoning and pastoral policies have been analyzed more and have been less successful.

C. Webersik:

Thank you very much for the positive notes on the general aspects of the paper. Below we respond to the specific comments and constructive suggestions for changes:

- 1) It would be good to show a more critical attitude towards seasonal forecasting, questioning whether seasonal forecasts are in fact reliable, especially in the West-African Sahel, where weather stations and recording of weather data are somewhat limited. Hence, it may be advisable to consult the literature on weather forecasting in order to assess the reliability of such data. The reliability aspect of weather forecasting data is also relevant for 3.1, when the authors discuss conflicts between farmers and institutions due to unreliable flooding forecasts.

- a. We agree that this is an important issue and we can add some lines on the reliability of forecasts, how it has evolved over time and what it means for the relationship between farmers and institutions. This will of course be based on other sources. We are not sure whether it will be possible to integrate this in 3.1, but it can be a discussion point related to the 'new' conflict between the government institutions disseminating information and users that are affected by information – it may not be a matter of 'wrong' information, but rather about how it has been interpreted along the communication pathway from producer to user.
- 2) The authors could be more specific about the use of conventional mobile phones, whether the sharing and accessing of information is mainly voice-based, or whether some information is also accessed via systems that allow the display of web content on conventional mobile phones, such as Opera Mini Mobile Browser or SMS services.
 - a. Phones are mainly used for voice-based information exchange, especially since there is still high illiteracy among pastoralists. We can add a sentence about this.
- 3) The potential of pastoralists in feeding back information on actual on-site situational data should be explored further. One wonders whether there are examples from other African countries where such crowd-sourcing activities may take place? Given that crowd sourcing is in its infancy for most applications and in the Global North, this maybe not yet the case in relation to weather forecasting.
 - a. We can integrate the ideas in Müller et al 2015 (<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/joc.4210/full>) in this discussion
- 4) Technical corrections: Page 4, line 9, delete word "August", and page 9, line 3, author is missing at end of sentence.
 - a. Corrections well noted

Anonymous referee #3:

Thank you very much for all the positive notes on the different paper assessment criteria. Here, we focus on responding to the critical comments and constructive suggestions:

- 1) The paper was not explicit about sources of conflicts in the Sahel and reduced those to socio-politically conflicts. Many studies argue that shortfall in zoning and governance is exacerbated by climate change. Another dimension is political marginalization. Usually pastoralists are not usually part in exercising their duties (no real territories) and claiming their civil right is not part of their culture. Conflicts may also arise because of the discrepancy between free riders citizens and compliant citizen opposing the agriculturalists and the pastoralists. From typical examples in the Sahel, drought are favorable conditions for violence because of resource scarcity (e.g. doi: 10.1177/0022343311427343)
 - a. We agree that extreme climate conditions can be a source of specific conflicts, but as these specific events have always occurred they are difficult to link to climate change. So yes, climate change may exacerbate conflict, but it could also do the opposite. We find that the occurrence of drought is a baseline condition in the Sahel, but we can indeed nuance the debate somewhat in the paper. Moreover, as the paper deals more with weather information than with climate information, we have changed the title and the wording

where relevant. We also agree that pastoralists are politically marginalized and this is indeed part and parcel of the broader national and international conflicts in the region. We were somewhat hesitant about getting too much into these larger-scale conflicts, but acknowledge that political issues also affect the small-scale conflicts,.

- 2) The conclusions are very useful, yet I let me in doubt how those could derived from a limited sample of practitioners, who we do not know about their origins and who they represent.
 - a. We will add more information about the respondents and what they represent as well as their opinions (see also comment by other reviewer)
- 3) The traditional relationship and exchange culture between farmers and pastoralists is not described.
 - a. It is correct that we did not go much into this. While we certainly acknowledge its importance, we find that more elaborate discussions would be repeating what is well known from the extensive ethnographic and anthropological literature.
- 4) Concern with the methodology in the paper and the number of respondents:
 - a. They paper is basically an exploratory exercise that emanated from the fact that this workshop was held and provided the opportunity to gauge opinions from different stakeholders involved in dissemination of information. We certainly appreciate the limitations of this approach and therefore have also used our experience from field work reported in other papers (Rasmussen et al. 2014 and 2015) as well as the wider literature. We can add some methodological reflections in the conclusion as this topic definitely requires more substantial field based research across a range of different stakeholders.
- 5) The fine time and spatial scale information requirement is generally a true need for communities but recent CCAFs experience in Senegal (using rural radios), or METAGRI project in Cote d'Ivoire, clearly demonstrated a general interest in aggregated climate services. The sowing dates and length of the growing season were useful for decisions to be made on-farm to adapt to likely seasonal profiles
 - a. We take note of this, but do not really see which changes this should generate in the paper.
- 6) I was unclear about the merged approach of identifying information needs for farmers and pastoralist. To me the two groups have different information needs but the analysis seems to put them in the same group when exploring data and information requirements.
 - a. It is not our intention to say that farmers and pastoralists have the same needs – but they can use the same types of information for their specific (and often different) needs. We hope this comes across in the paper, but we will of course double check that it does
- 7) The study is more like an expert knowledge assessment and will be easily dismantled by control of facts from practitioners on the ground. The authors recommended a real time system of disaggregated data at a daily basis to help farmers and pastoralist. Is there any example of such a system in the world? Is that possible given the level of technology we have in Africa?
 - a. The study is actually based on statements from practitioners who work on the ground, so we do not agree that it is not rooted in actual practices. There are many examples of real-time information services in the World and the technology is not complicated. In Africa, where the use of mobile technologies are often more advanced than elsewhere, a combination of political commitment and private investments would of course be needed to implement it. We can make these issues more clear in the paper.

- 8) The qualitative information might not be appropriate to generate quantitative evidence and the sample size to me is very limited. The experts might not have covered the range of opinions needed to develop the outputs. The traditional knowledge is not fully accounted in the modern assessment of information needed for informed decisions by herders
- a. We are well aware that this is not a quantitative and representative sample and although we show a distribution of answers, this is not a quantitative analysis as such, but merely to provide the reader with an overview of responses. The study could, in principle, be replicated by asking the same respondents the same questions (respondents' names are on file, but they are kept anonymous for publication purposes). We will consider whether the figure and its caption can be reworked to not signal a strict quantitative approach, but rather an overview of responses, e.g. in Table form. See also our response to the previous comment.
- 9) Also, a distinction needs to be made between data and information. Information adds more value to data and gives examples of application rather than pure data dissemination.
- a. This is a valid point – we will check the paper for consistency.
- 10) But the disregard to climate change trends is quite worrisome to me. Many previous studies showed the importance of climate change in the Sahel including perception analysis of such trends. The authors themselves are part of that community.
- a. First of all, we do mention certain elements that might be linked to climate change, e.g. the crucial nature of August rainfall. Secondly, we have to be very cautious when discussing climate change in the Sahel compared to the inherent climate variability. There is no doubt that the droughts in the 1970s and 1980s represented a (temporary?) shift in climate patterns, but this has been difficult to link to anthropogenically driven climate change. That climate change will have an influence in the future is certain, but for now models at regional scale have great difficulties in agreeing on predictions of climate change for the region. Given the limited number of meteorological stations in the region, it is also very difficult to claim actual changing climate in the past. Hence, we agree with the referees that acknowledge the highly variable climate in the Sahel as a fundamental condition in which resource conflicts exist. In previous work that the referee alludes to, we have shown that climate factors actually have a limited effect on farming and pastoral decisions, probably because the variable climate has always existed. As mentioned above, we have rephrased to use 'weather' rather than climate in the analytical part of the paper as this is what the information systems we deal with are about.
- 11) Figure 1. We do not know is that is % or absolute values. In any case I doubt about representativeness of the information given the very small sample size?
- a. The pie chart indicates absolute numbers – this will be added to the graph if we keep it. The question of representativeness has been answered above.

Anonymous referee #4:

Thank you very much for a very detailed review and the positive notes on the relevance. We have responded to all of the constructive suggestions, most of which we can address:

- 1) Initial statements on pastoralist-farmer conflicts need to be better connected to the peer-reviewed literature (e.g. the first two references are working papers).
 - a. It is not entirely correct that they are working papers – they are published as a book chapter and in a series. But point taken that more of the peer-reviewed literature could be cited here
- 2) References in the introduction are quite selective (e.g. only citing three papers on the climate-conflict link, despite a body of recent literature).
 - a. We can indeed add more citations to this section, but perhaps the referee could point us to some key references. We thought we had captured some of the most relevant for the current debate. As we focus on small-scale resource conflicts and not the wider climate-conflict links, the latter is mainly used to set the context for the paper.
- 3) Since the paper aims to enter new ground by connecting different issues, a more systematic review is needed on pastoralist-farmer conflicts related to key resources (land, water, livestock, crops, ..) and why information on those is relevant for farmers and pastoralists in the Sahel, as suggested in the paper.
 - a. We acknowledge the benefits of doing systematic reviews, but we find that it is beyond the scope of this paper to do a full systematic review of the pastoralist-farmer conflict literature. We believe that we have captured the (few) papers that discuss information needs in relationship to farmer-pastoral conflict but if we have missed some important pieces, we will of course be pleased to integrate these. The paper is essentially an explorative piece of ideas and to implement a systematic review procedure at this point would not be possible. It probably would also not be worth the effort given the limited literature that speaks of climate and resource information for pastoralists as documented in the sources used in the paper (Rasmussen et al. 2014, 2015).
- 4) Further it is important to make the meaning of conflict used in this paper more explicit which apparently deals with small-scale conflicts.
 - a. Point taken – this will be corrected. We can clarify that we work with small-scale conflicts that despite their limited individual extent are an issue of relevance across the Sahel.
- 5) Some statements deserve better justification, e.g. the authors associate references with “simplistic explanations” but it is not discussed why they are simplistic and which better explanations could be given
 - a. Agree, the term ‘simplistic’ has been removed as the diversity of explanations are mentioned in the following sentences, so no need to say this.
- 6) Drawing on the literature more specific research questions and hypotheses could be derived, leading to the core part of the paper, the discussion of different categories of information sources, means of communication and relevant data
 - a. We are not entirely sure what is meant here, but we can work on sharpening the research questions if they are not clear enough. We believe that we are indeed doing what is suggested here.
- 7) Comments related to the survey at the workshop and the figure.
 - a. We realize that figure 1 does indeed appear as an attempt to make a quantitative assessment of the data, but in reality it was meant to give the reader an overview of the responses. We will consider presenting the figure and caption in a different way or at least

make it clear that we know it is not a representative sample that can be the basis for statistical analysis (which is also why we didn't attempt the latter).

- b. The figure represents absolute numbers
 - c. We have reported most of the statements of interest from the questionnaire and discussions.
- 8) No theoretical explanations are given whether and when information is leading to competition, sharing or better distribution of resources. Did conflicts emerge because of correct information or due to wrong and lacking of information? This could be identified as a research questions earlier in the paper
 - a. We do have an example of conflict arising because of 'wrong' information – to quote the respondents. The answer was not elaborated on by the respondents and it could be an issue of how the information was interpreted along the communication pathway rather than actually being wrong information. We will see if more information can be derived from the discussions at the workshop that were also recorded. We do not really see the need to develop this as a full research question.
- 9) The paper emphasizes agreement among the workshop participants “that there is a need to improve both the quality of information and how it is disseminated” (page 8). This raises the questions which indicators could be used to measure improvement and how to improve them
 - a. Very relevant point. We do discuss models for improving, but not indicators for measuring their success. We thought it would be somewhat speculative to list indicators, but have included this as a recommendation.
- 10) Although representatives from three countries (Burkina Faso, Mali and Niger) were participating, almost no country-specific experiences are presented. Burkina Faso was shortly mentioned twice, Mali once and Niger not at all. Any information on the differences or similarities of these countries would be helpful
 - a. Partly because of the limited number of respondents, we decided not to discuss country differences too much. However, based on the discussions there was a clear difference not so much between nationality, but more based on whether they worked in more or less pastoral areas. We can try to elaborate on this latter difference.
- 11) A new type of conflicts between farmers and institutions from information dissemination (page 7). This is an interesting point that could be elaborated further.
 - a. Yes, we can add some more comments to this as it is indeed a type of conflict that may arise more if information systems are developed and implemented to a higher extent in the future
- 12) The paper emphasizes how important traditional ways of information and communication are and to ensure the participation of pastoralists (page 8). Here it would be valuable to include a little more about these traditional ways.
 - a. These traditions have been documented in detail by Rasmussen et al. (2014, 2015), and details are already provided in section 2.
- 13) Generally it would be useful to have a table on conflicting issues and how information could address them, following the classification mentioned in C). This might include cases where similar information among different groups leads to similar strategies that increase the risk of overuse and

depletion of resources, as well as cases where more options are created that reduce conflict and the added value of modern vs. traditional information dissemination.

- a. Good idea, but we are not entirely sure how to construct such a table without making some unfounded simplifications.

14) Another point that deserves further discussion is the empowerment of pastoralists by equitable access to information to influence land use policies (page 9). How are empowerment and information related in this context?

- a. This was also raised by another referee and we have added some elements to this discussion.

15) The conclusions are too short and unspecific to represent the content of the paper.

- a. Point taken – conclusions have been elaborated (but still kept relatively short).

Weather and resource information as tools for dealing with farmer-pastoralist conflicts in the Sahel

Ole Mertz¹, Kjeld Rasmussen¹, Laura Vang Rasmussen^{1,2}

¹Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, 1350 Copenhagen K, Denmark

5 ²International Forestry Resources and Institutions (IFRI), School of Natural Resources & Environment, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA

Correspondence to: Ole Mertz (om@ign.ku.dk)

Abstract. Conflicts between pastoralists and farmers in the Sahel mainly arise from competition over land and water resources or because of livestock damages to crops. Rather than being linked to larger environmental change processes such as climate change, conflicts are often caused by inappropriate zoning of land, governance and unequal power relations between stakeholders. However, conflicts may be affected by more short-term weather and resource information that guide mobility of pastoralists. In this paper, we therefore explore if improved weather and resource information and improvement in its communication could prevent conflicts or reduce their severity. Based on a survey of key stakeholders involved in dissemination of weather and resource information and studies on pastoral access to and use of information, we conclude that improved information may both reduce and increase the level of conflict, depending on the context. Communication of information will need to go beyond just the weather and resource information and also include the multiple options for herd movements as well as providing information on herd crowding and potential conflict areas.

1 Introduction

The history of conflicts involving pastoralists and farmers in the West-African Sahel is long (Thébaud and Batterbury, 2001; Turner, 2004). Conflicts arise from agricultural encroachment on land and pastures traditionally used by pastoralists, or are associated with livestock damages on crops in rain-fed fields and in irrigated gardens. Moreover, there are also classic conflicts between pastoralists on the access to and use of pastures and watering points (wells, boreholes). Especially in the dry season, when prices for accessing water can be high, conflicts may intensify. These conflicts often receive more attention than the well-known symbiotic relationships whereby farmers and pastoralists exchange crop residues and manure. In addition, herders and farmers are very heterogeneous and overlapping categories, both in terms of production systems, social organization and ethnicity. Across the Sahel, livestock is to an increasing extent owned by groups that are not usually considered to be pastoralists, and groups that are traditionally considered to be pastoralists are increasingly becoming involved in farming and other economic activities (Hesse, 2011), adding to the complexity of conflicts (Beeler, 2006).

Numerous explanations of the farmer-pastoralist conflicts have been suggested in the scientific literature (see overviews of this large literature by Hussein et al., 1999; Thébaud and Batterbury, 2001; Turner, 2004; Turner et al., 2011; Benjaminsen, 2016). In recent years, for example, it has been claimed in various political arenas that climate change has caused – or aggravated – conflicts, due to its alleged negative impacts on resource availability. Closer examination of these claims have largely caused them to be refuted as the root causes of conflicts are found in socio-political events and conditions such as inadequate land policies and rent-seeking (Benjaminsen et al., 2012; Benjaminsen, 2016). Some studies do show that extreme weather events such as droughts – whether triggered by climate change or not – may exacerbate existing conflicts (Raleigh and Kniveton, 2012), but the fact that climate variability is perceived to have a much lower impact on livestock productivity in areas, where policies of zoning of pastoral lands were implemented and enforced in the mid-2000s, indicates that climate factors are secondary to policy drivers (Mertz et al., 2010). In the study by Mertz et al. (2010), these zoning policies, which typically entail delimitation of areas for pasture and movement of livestock, were mainly seen to have had a positive impact and conflict mitigating effect, but they have been criticized for being too technocratic and top-down oriented with little pastoral involvement in their conception (Hesse and Thébaud, 2006). In Niger, the limited or inadequate implementation of zoning policies has in some cases had counterproductive effects, creating negative impacts for pastoralists and potentially exacerbated conflicts (Bonnet and Hérault, 2011; Oxby, 2011) as was also experienced in earlier attempts at controlling, for example, wells and boreholes in eastern Niger (Thébaud and Batterbury, 2001).

Whatever the reason behind, farmer-pastoralist and pastoralist-pastoralist conflicts prevail in many areas in the Sahel. The underlying causes may be social, political or economic, but the direct drivers of specific conflicts are mostly a result of competition for concrete land areas, certain types of vegetation and water resources used for both farming and livestock. As all of these resources are influenced by climate variability, one may hypothesize that better information on the state and changes in resources, and on the weather patterns that influence them, would be useful for mitigating conflicts, at least in the short term and even if it only would be treating the ‘symptoms’ rather than their root causes.

Hardly any attention has, however, been devoted to what role information about climate, weather, and natural resources might play for conflict resolution. This is surprising as both pastoralists and farmers have been shown to act upon the information available to them and are indeed able to understand more complex probabilistic forecasts, including the risks associated with following recommendations on for example sowing dates and length of the rainy season (Ingram et al., 2002; Roncoli et al., 2009; Rasmussen et al., 2015). A study in Senegal showed, on the other hand, that pastoralists are reluctant to support information sharing about pastures (Kitchell, 2016). Reasons include that pastures become a ‘common property’ and this may compromise pastoralists’ priority access to certain areas, potentially creating additional conflict. Yet, this was not found in northern Burkina Faso, where there was a demand for information and criticism was more directed at its value and the forms of communication (Rasmussen et al., 2014; Rasmussen et al., 2015). In any case, when people are faced with increasing climate variability, their actions and management strategies will most likely differ depending on the level of

knowledge gained about the weather and the resource availability. The question remains whether this knowledge will mitigate or exacerbate conflicts when decisions about resource use and mobility are made.

In the present paper, we discuss the possible linkages between small-scale, localized but common resource conflicts and various information dissemination systems. For example, we look into dissemination systems based on information from satellite data, traditional forecasting methods, and seasonal forecast models. The question asked is whether such information systems, apart from being useful as a basis for day-to-day decisions, will tend to lessen or increase competition for resources and thus the potential for conflicts. We use the limited existing literature to assess the role of information and complement this with a short questionnaire survey among local government and private stakeholders involved in dissemination of climate, weather, and resource information. The questionnaire survey was distributed to staff from key dissemination institutions in West Africa, including provincial agricultural and meteorological services and radio stations in Burkina Faso, Mali and Niger. The staff from the government agencies were either regional directors or leaders of so-called 'focal points' for weather and resource information dissemination and the staff from radio stations were either directors or journalists working on popular dissemination of this information. All participants therefore had comprehensive local knowledge of the areas they worked in the three countries, but they are mainly policy implementers and agents for transmitting knowledge to the actual land users. The survey was conducted during the "Workshop on the dissemination of agro-hydro-climatological information to final users in the project Knowledge Based Climate Adaptation in West Africa (Original French title: Atelier de Diffusion et de Dissémination de l'Information Agro-Hydro-Climatique aux Usagers Finaux du Projet ACCIC), held in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, 3-5 December 2015. A total of 24 participants were asked to complete the questionnaire and of these sixteen participants responded anonymously. The questionnaire requested information on their knowledge of cases, where weather or resource information had contributed to resolving or aggravating conflicts, and on their opinion on the role of information as a conflict resolution tool, including how conflict resolution may take place. In addition to the survey, notes were taken of discussions during the workshop to capture more nuanced details in views on the utility of various types of information and the potential of different dissemination systems. This highlighted considerable differences in knowledge of pastoral strategies that – not surprisingly – were most well-known among participants from the driest regions, e.g. eastern Niger and northern Burkina Faso.

Before moving to the results of the survey, we start by identifying the information needs of pastoralists – the potential users of weather and resource information – as they have been largely neglected as recipients of such information (Rasmussen et al., 2014; Rasmussen et al., 2015). We then discuss implications of the results for farmer-pastoralist conflict resolution and development of appropriate information systems in the Sahel.

2 Information needs of pastoralists

Pastoral societies still rely to a large extent on traditional agricultural and livestock production methods even though the sector to an increasing extent has become a supplier of meat to the coastal regions of West Africa, and thus partly commercialized. As pastoralists are becoming sedentary in many parts of the Sahel, such as the Ferlo of northern Senegal, the competition for land and resources in nearby areas gets more pronounced because pastoralists still rely on varying degrees and types of herd mobility (Adriansen and Nielsen, 2005). Ensuring appropriate and efficient mobility of livestock is thus the key element for which pastoralists need information about the state and expected changes in climate, weather, and resources. Rasmussen et al. (2014) discuss the demand for information among pastoralists on the basis of field work in northern Burkina Faso and find that pastoralists seek information that would facilitate more informed decision-making on herd management. These include the location of the herd in order for it to thrive and make the best of current – and expected future – vegetation and water resources as well as information on markets for selling livestock and purchasing feed and veterinary services, especially if there are expectations of insufficient future availability in pastures and water.

The basis upon which these decisions are taken by pastoralists includes experience from the past, pastoralists' own observations, e.g. signs indicating the arrival of the monsoon and information from family members, friends or hired scouts on vegetation and water resources – as well as prices – often conveyed by mobile phone (Rasmussen et al., 2015; Kitchell, 2016). These traditional information systems are now being complemented by satellite-based information on weather and resource availability, but the role of these new technologies – as well as the full potential of mobile phone technologies – in this decision making process and for preventing or resolving conflicts has yet to be fully explored.

2.1 Information on climate variability and seasonal forecasts

Weather patterns and climate variability are important for the availability of vegetation and water resources and improvements in this information could potentially be beneficial for pastoralists (Rasmussen et al., 2015; Kitchell, 2016). The long term effects of climate change, which are likely to include increasing temperatures and fewer but more violent rainfall events (Niang et al., 2014), will of course be relevant for the future survival of pastoralism and farming (Lambin et al., 2014), especially if the observed trends in rainfall anomalies in August, a crucial months for crops and vegetation in general, continue (Mertz et al., 2012; Nicholson, 2013). However, short term seasonal forecasts are more useful for farmers and pastoralists. Since 1998, the Climate Outlook Forum PRESAO (PREvisions Saisonnières en Afrique de l'Ouest) has created seasonal rainfall forecasts (Tarahule and Lamb, 2003; Patt et al., 2007) and although criticized for their lack of reliability (Fraser et al., 2014) significant advances in the understanding of the West African weather systems have paved the way for better forecasts (Polcher et al., 2011). Such forecasts are mostly seen as an input to farmers' choices of which fields to cultivate and which crops or crop varieties to cultivate. Although farmers, as mentioned above, may use seasonal forecasts rationally, relatively few farmers actually use them (Ingram et al., 2002; Ingram et al., 2008; Roncoli et al., 2009; Roudier et

al., 2014), probably because of the inaccessibility of the information. The forecasts are therefore mostly used for national planning purposes and early warnings of crop failure. Analogously, pastoralists' use of seasonal forecasts appears very limited in the Sahel (Rasmussen et al., 2014).

2.2 Information on vegetation resources

5 Vegetation information may be provided by field observation or by satellite-based remote sensing. Obviously, pastoralists themselves monitor vegetation resources and share this information, often using mobile phones but this information is limited in spatial extent and completeness. A number of methods for satellite-based monitoring of vegetation productivity in the Sahel have been developed and could be potentially useful for pastoralists. The current standard methodology is based on analysis of time-series of coarse resolution satellite images, mostly from NOAA AVHRR, SPOT Vegetation and MODIS, using the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) as a proxy for vegetation productivity. Mbow et al. (2013) show that NDVI is sensitive to the species composition, limiting its precision for assessment of fodder production. While in cropped areas the summed NDVI is correlated to crop yield and therefore useful in early warning systems of crop failure, outputs from such monitoring systems are of limited value to pastoralists. For pastoralists the end of the rainy season is the most critical period of the year as they must make decisions on herd location, selling of livestock, splitting of herds etc. based on information on dry season fodder resources. Unfortunately, satellite-based methods for providing information on available non-green fodder resources in near real-time and with the necessary spatial detail are not presently operational and a suitable method for distributing such information would have to be developed.

2.3 Information on water resources

Pastoralists also need real-time information on water availability in day-to-day decisions, especially during the dry season when ponds and lakes progressively dry out and only water from wells and boreholes is available. This can be provided by remote sensing methods that use high resolution satellite images for monitoring the gradual drying out of surface water resources. However, as wells and boreholes are not always operational, especially those that are operated by pumps that require maintenance, information on access to and availability and price of water is therefore also crucial, and this is rarely collected and broadcasted widely. A 'pastoral decision-support system' would ideally integrate such information, including information on the physical availability and management of the water resources.

2.4 Other information types: herd location and markets

Herding decisions are not only based on meteorological information and information on the availability of resources. Rather, the decisions also involve knowledge of – or expectations of – the competition for these resources from herds other than your own. Such information is not publicly available and is therefore obtained through informal networks of family and friends, mostly by mobile phones. Moreover, as pastoralism becomes increasingly commercialized, decisions are to a greater extent guided by economic criteria, e.g. livestock prices and prices on supplementary feed. Such market information is nowadays

available to a substantial part of the pastoralists through the same informal networks based on mobile phones that are gaining increasing importance as the key distribution method.

2.5 Communication of information to pastoralists: new communication technology

Since satellite-based crop/vegetation monitoring was first introduced in the 1980s, the information, e.g. in the form of maps of NDVI, has been presented to end-users, such as pastoralists, by radio and television broadcast. Obviously, the impact on pastoralist decision making was quite limited (Rasmussen et al., 2014). The main users of previous efforts to disseminate information, such as the Famine Early Warning System Network (FEWS NET), were primarily government agencies and international donors involved in food relief (Boyd et al., 2013). As mobile phones have become widespread in West Africa, information distribution – and especially the speed of distribution – has been transformed and this should be accounted for in new strategies for dissemination of weather and resource information, especially for pastoralists who rely on mobile phones more than any other sector in rural West Africa (Rasmussen et al., 2015). While ‘smart-phone’ technology may provide a promising avenue for delivering spatially detailed information, their use may, however, be limited in the Sahel. This is because presentation of information to pastoralists that are illiterate and do not have full command of national languages will require careful consideration in order to avoid misinterpretation and inequality in access to the information – the pastoralists themselves use almost exclusively oral communication and services that employ voice messages in local languages are therefore by far the most promising (Rasmussen et al., 2015). Moreover, use of ‘smart-phones’ rather than traditional mobile phones, will demand more frequent charging, which might prove difficult in remote pastoral communities unless its use is supported by technological development of solar-panel based chargers and/or by battery charging becoming a widely available commercial service. The rapid expansion of mobile phone use among pastoralists also provides a basis for systematic crowd-sourcing and feed-back of localized information to the information service providers, e.g. as discussed by Mueller et al (2015). However, there is so far limited experience with this in Africa.

3. Conflicts and the role of weather and resource information

As mentioned above, very few studies have explored whether weather and resource information can be used as a tool for resolving conflicts or whether indeed better availability of this information may aggravate conflicts.

3.1 Results of survey with dissemination stakeholders


The 16 respondents from the workshop on dissemination provided a somewhat diverse picture on the role of information for conflicts. Three respondents were not aware of concrete cases where weather or resource information had played a role in conflict resolution or aggravation, but the remaining 13 provided a total of eight combinations of information types and conflict outcomes (Figure 1). Most respondents provided cases, where information resolved conflicts, which may not be so

surprising given the role that these agencies play in disseminating this type of information. However, there were exceptions and these were particularly related to information on water and vegetation resources that could lead to aggravation of conflicts. The cases described were quite diverse and, in the words of respondents, included:

5 *“Biomass and water information to pastoralists will make them move to favorable areas, provoking conflicts both with farmers and other pastoralists. This is caused by lack of areas for free passage of cattle and because of competition for water in wells”;*

“Too early movement of animals both north to south and south to north caused conflicts in transition zones”;

10 These are thus both cases of correct information that leads to clashes between farmers and pastoralists as well as among pastoralists since favorable areas had not been adequately zoned to receive such a large number of pastoralists and wrong information to farmers led to cultivation in areas less suitable for cultivation, but where livestock would graze during the rainy season.



15 **Figure 1. Distribution of sixteen responses on which types of information may lead to conflict resolution or aggravation as experienced by staff from provincial agricultural and meteorological services and from radio stations in Burkina Faso, Mali and Niger. Thirteen respondents provided answers, three provided information on two information categories. Source: questionnaire distributed at “Workshop on the dissemination of agro-hydro-climatological information to final users in the project Knowledge Based Climate Adaptation in West Africa, 3-4 December 2015”.**

Interestingly, the survey revealed a new type of conflicts arising from information dissemination: conflicts between farmers and institutions. It was for example expressed that *“Flooding forecasts led farmers to sow on higher and more dry lands and dry spells then caused yields to decline. This caused the farmers to criticize the meteorological department”*. Besides lower yields, the expansion into drylands also led to disruption of livestock corridors. This statement highlights the issue of communicating the uncertainty related to the information as uncertain information clearly leaves great room for misunderstanding and miscommunication of risks which can have huge repercussions for pastoralists and farmers’ livelihood.

The larger number of responses related to positive impacts of information on conflict resolution was also illustrated by explanations such as:

“Information given on reduced water level in a dam allowed farmers and pastoralists to agree on the water management and use in the dam”;

“[Agro-meteorological] information helps pastoralists choose itineraries that avoid newly sown areas by farmers and help farmers avoid planting in livestock corridors”

“Information on timing of retracting waters in Lac Chad gives pastoralists the option to avoid islands, where farmers start cultivating”

Moreover, when asked whether improved information on weather and resources in certain contexts could assist in conflict resolution, all respondents that provided answers said yes. They illustrated the answers partly with their comments to the previous questions but also elaborated:

“If information is given so that pastoralists have a variety of options, then they can plan and diversify their movements to avoid all going to the same places. Pastoralists need to have their own information dissemination system improved through proper participation in information system development”

“Continued information on and zoning of pasture, livestock corridors, watering areas are needed to avoid further conflicts”

“Improvement of the use of mobile phones and other new technologies accessible to pastoralists”

“Feed-back to information providers of information needs to be systematic necessary for the systems to get better”

There was thus a strong emphasis on developing information systems that build on traditional ways of communicating information and ensure the participation of pastoralists in their conception as well as for feeding back actual on-site information on resources and weather to improve the information provided. The use of mobile phone technologies was not seen as an obstacle at all as mobile phones have already been appropriated by pastoralists.

There was among representatives from the radio stations a strong and not surprising emphasis on the use of radio transmissions as a way to disseminate information and thus also to contribute to the prevention of conflicts. However, with the exception of Mali, where radio broadcasts were mentioned to have alleviated concrete conflicts, it was not possible to establish whether radio has been successful in addressing this issue.

5 3.2 Perspectives for weather and resource information to contribute to resolving conflict

The participants in the workshop all agreed during discussions that there is a need to improve both the quality of information and how it is disseminated as conflicts that could possibly have been avoided, still occur. It is thus evident that farmers and pastoralists in the Sahel make decisions on their use of natural resources on the basis of incomplete information, both about current conditions, e.g. on the spatial distribution of resources, and about probabilities of future events, e.g. the rainfall in the coming rainy season or next year's livestock prices. In this section we therefore discuss the possible consequences of making information on current and future resources more tailored to the needs of pastoralists as a user group, including how it may influence the occurrence of conflicts involving pastoralists.

A promising option is to produce real-time, spatially explicit information on availability of fodder and water resources (particularly in the dry season) and distribute this to pastoralists, e.g. in graphical form by smart-phone or – more appropriately given the limited use so far of smart phones – as voice messages in local languages on an automated phone service as suggested by Rasmussen et al. (2015). This would require investments and partnerships with the private telecommunication sector, but given their success in developing affordable mobile services in Africa, it would appear a feasible proposition. Access to and prices of water are also important for decision making, and information on all these elements would most likely affect decisions concerning location (and possibly splitting) of herds. This would reduce the probability of making inappropriate short term decisions which might cause increased livestock mortality, economic losses, and conflict with farmers and other pastoralists (Hesse, 2011).

Conflicts may arise in a situation where all pastoralists have identical, real-time information about where vegetation and water resources are currently available, and about the access to and price of water resources. As mentioned by the workshop respondents, this may lead many pastoralists to pursue similar strategies, potentially causing increased risk of over-use and subsequent resource depletion and conflict if all descend on the same areas. However, it may also allow pastoralists to obtain information about more options than they otherwise would have had and thus contribute to spreading herds more and lowering pressure in each area. The question is thus simply whether a structured satellite-based information system could provide, if not better, then more information across larger areas than the traditional systems or whether it will just result in more people hearing about a limited number of favorable areas, creating more crowding than previously. Or perhaps the traditional pastoral information systems mentioned earlier are already sufficiently efficient in capturing all available resources and a new system will not make any difference. The only way to answer these questions will of course be to

increase the knowledge base on the information-conflict link between farmers and pastoralists. Moreover, attention will also have to be given to potential conflicts between information providers as increased information flows may also result in increased incidences of wrong or inadequate information as in the flood forecasting example listed above. The probabilistic nature of the information needs to be very carefully explained to recipients as too frequent losses of livestock or crops will undermine trust in the system and could escalate into conflicts if damages are severe.

As mentioned in the introduction, it is in any case clear that better information will not be enough to solve conflicts between farmers and pastoralists and among pastoralists. The underlying causes for conflicts are most often related to land policies (Benjaminsen et al., 2012; Benjaminsen, 2016), and implementation and enforcement of pastoral land zoning is proposed as a way to reduce the number conflicts if it will clarify land uses for all groups (Mertz et al., 2010; Hesse, 2011). While such land use policies have been implemented in many of the silvo-pastoral zones of the Sahel, they are much less prevalent in the more semi-arid and sub-humid zones dominated by farming and it is often in these areas that conflicts arise when pastoralists search for dry season pastures and water resources. Moreover, there are unfortunately also many examples of how inadequate or limited implementation of such policies – however well-intended they are – lead to more conflict or other negative outcomes (Thébaud and Batterbury, 2001; Bonnet and Hérault, 2011; Oxby, 2011) Pastoralists and pastoral organizations need to be sufficiently empowered in order to influence land use policies. Top-down technocratic approaches do not facilitate such empowerment (Hesse and Thébaud, 2006) and national and local government will therefore have to truly engage in dialogue with farmers and pastoralists to ensure their involvement and participation. One important first step could be to ensure equitable access to information for both farmers and pastoralists. In particular, more tailored weather and resource information could play a role for increasing the general information level of pastoralists and placing them in a stronger position to argue for their rights to the traditional pastures in predominantly agricultural zones.

4. Conclusions

Sahel has for centuries been a scene for fierce competition for land and natural resources, both among pastoralists and between pastoralists and farmers. The great variability in time and space of resource availability requires pastoralists to take decisions on the basis of incomplete information, sometimes with negative outcomes. Use of modern technologies such as satellite-based earth observation to collect and mobile phones to distribute information on weather, climate variability, vegetation and water resources could be promising for reducing the conflicts that arise over land and access to pasture and water resources. However, more information may also lead to increased conflict in some cases if it is not managed or communicated in a way that will avoid too many herds descending on areas limited in size.

Future information systems should not only entail actual improvements in access to real-time, spatially explicit weather and resource information. They should also integrate elements such as areas with potential herd crowding and in general be developed with the participation of pastoral communities in order to better target the most pressing needs.

- 5 The present paper arrives at these conclusions based on a small survey of stakeholders and a review of the literature. Hence, there is certainly a strong need for studies that take a more systematic look at how weather and resource information intersects with conflict mitigation. Such studies should aim to improve the understanding of the direct linkages between information dissemination, farmer and pastoralist reactions, and the conflict outcomes. Moreover, a set of systematic indicators of successful (or unsuccessful) information dissemination should be developed to make monitoring of the information system performance possible.
- 10

References

- Adriansen, H., and Nielsen, T.: The geography of pastoral mobility: A spatio-temporal analysis of GPS data from Sahelian Senegal, *GeoJournal*, 64, 177-188, 2005.
- 15 Beeler, S.: Conflicts between farmers and herders in north-western Mali, IIED Issue Paper no. 141, IIED, London, 2006.
- Benjaminsen, T. A., Alinon, K., Buhaug, H., and Buset, J. T.: Does climate change drive land-use conflicts in the Sahel?, *Journal of Peace Research*, 49, 97-111, 10.1177/0022343311427343, 2012.
- Benjaminsen, T. A.: Does Climate Change Lead to Conflicts in the Sahel?, in: *The End of Desertification? : Disputing Environmental Change in the Drylands*, edited by: Behnke, R., and Mortimore, M., Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 99-116, 2016.
- 20 Bonnet, B., and Héroult, D.: Governance of pastoral tenure and climate change in the Sahel. Reinforce capacities of actors to secure mobility and fair access to pastoral resources, *Land Tenure Journal*, 2, 157-186, 2011.
- Boyd, E., Cornforth, R. J., Lamb, P. J., Tarhule, A., Lele, M. I., and Brouder, A.: Building resilience to face recurring environmental crisis in African Sahel, *Nature Clim. Change*, 3, 631-637, 10.1038/nclimate1856, 2013.
- 25 Fraser, C. L., Margaret, G., Richard, J. G., Adam, A. S., and Michael, V.: Reliability of African climate prediction and attribution across timescales, *Environmental Research Letters*, 9, 104017, 2014.
- Hesse, C., and Thébaud, B.: Will pastoral legislation disempower pastoralists in the Sahel?, *Indigenous Affairs*, 1, 1-23, 2006.
- 30 Hesse, C.: Natural resource management in pastoral systems. Chapter 4 in (2011): . . . , in: *New Perspectives on Natural Resource Management in the Sahel*, edited by: Bolwig, S., Rasmussen, K., Hesse, C., Hilhorst, T., and Hansen, M. K., SEREIN Occasional Papers no. 17, Copenhagen, 51-88, 2011.
- Hussein, K., Sumberg, J., and Seddon, D.: Increasing Violent Conflict between Herders and Farmers in Africa: Claims and Evidence, *Development Policy Review*, 17, 397-418, 10.1111/1467-7679.00094, 1999.

- Ingram, J. S. I., Gregory, P. J., and Izac, A. M.: The role of agronomic research in climate change and food security policy, *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 126, 4-12, 2008.
- Ingram, K. T., Roncoli, M. C., and Kirshen, P. H.: Opportunities and constraints for farmers of west Africa to use seasonal precipitation forecasts with Burkina Faso as a case study, *Agricultural Systems*, 74, 331-349, 2002.
- 5 Kitchell, E.: Information sharing and climate risk management among Senegalese agropastoralists, *Climate and Development*, 8, 158-168, 10.1080/17565529.2015.1034225, 2016.
- Lambin, E. F., Mertz, O., Rasmussen, K., Reenberg, A., D'haen, S., and Nielsen, J. Ø.: Scenarios on the future of the African Sahel, *Geografisk Tidsskrift-Danish Journal of Geography*, 114, 76-83, 2014.
- Mbow, C., Fensholt, R., Rasmussen, K., and Diop, D.: Can vegetation productivity be derived from greenness in a semi-arid environment? Evidence from ground-based measurements, *Journal of Arid Environments*, 97, 56-65, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2013.05.011>, 2013.
- 10 Mertz, O., Mbow, C., Nielsen, J. Ø., Maiga, A., Diallo, D., Reenberg, A., Diouf, A., Barbier, B., Moussa, I. B., Zorom, M., Ouattara, I., and Dabi, D.: Climate factors play a limited role for past adaptation strategies in West Africa, *Ecology and Society*, 15, Article 25, 2010.
- 15 Mertz, O., D'haen, S., Maiga, A., Bouzou Moussa, I., Barbier, B., Diouf, A., Diallo, D., Da, E. D., and Dabi, D.: Climate variability and environmental stress in the Sudan-Sahel zone of West Africa, *Ambio*, 41, 380-392, 2012.
- Muller, C. L., Chapman, L., Johnston, S., Kidd, C., Illingworth, S., Foody, G., Overeem, A., and Leigh, R. R.: Crowdsourcing for climate and atmospheric sciences: current status and future potential, *International Journal of Climatology*, 35, 3185-3203, 10.1002/joc.4210, 2015.
- 20 Niang, I., Ruppel, O. C., Abdrabo, M. A., Essel, A., Lennard, C., Padgham, J., and Urquhart, P.: Africa, in: *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Barros, V. R., Field, C. B., Dokken, D. J., Mastrandrea, M. D., Mach, K. J., Bilir, T. E., Chatterjee, M., Ebi, K. L., Estrada, Y. O., Genova, R. C., Girma, B., Kissel, E. S., Levy, A. N., MacCracken, S., Mastrandrea, P. R., and White, L. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and
- 25 New York, USA, 1199-1265, 2014.
- Nicholson, S. E.: The West African Sahel: A Review of Recent Studies on the Rainfall Regime and Its Interannual Variability, *ISRN Meteorology*, 2013, 32, 10.1155/2013/453521, 2013.
- Oxby, C.: Will the 2010 'Code Pastoral' Help Herders in Central Niger? Land Rights and Land Use Strategies in the Grasslands of Abalak and Dakoro Departments, *Nomadic Peoples*, 15, 53-81, 10.3167/np.2011.150205, 2011.
- 30 Patt, A. G., Ogallo, L., and Hellmuth, M.: SUSTAINABILITY: Learning from 10 Years of Climate Outlook Forums in Africa, *Science*, 318, 49-50, 2007.
- Polcher, J., Parker, D. J., Gaye, A., Diedhiou, A., Eymard, L., Fierli, F., Genesio, L., Höller, H., Janicot, S., Lafore, J.-P., Karambiri, H., Lebel, T., Redelsperger, J.-L., Reeves, C. E., Ruti, P., Sandholt, I., and Thorncroft, C.: AMMA's contribution to the evolution of prediction and decision-making systems for West Africa, *Atmospheric Science Letters*, 12, 2-6, 10.1002/asl.320, 2011.
- 35 Raleigh, C., and Kniveton, D.: Come rain or shine: An analysis of conflict and climate variability in East Africa, *Journal of Peace Research*, 49, 51-64, 10.1177/0022343311427754, 2012.

- Rasmussen, L. V., Mertz, O., Rasmussen, K., Nieto, H., Ali, A., and Maiga, I.: Weather, Climate, and Resource Information Should Meet the Needs of Sahelian Pastoralists, *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 6, 482-494, 10.1175/WCAS-D-14-00010.1, 2014.
- 5 Rasmussen, L. V., Mertz, O., Rasmussen, K., and Nieto, H.: Improving how meteorological information is used by pastoralists through adequate communication tools, *Journal of Arid Environments*, 121, 52-58, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2015.05.001>, 2015.
- Roncoli, C., Jost, C., Kirshen, P., Sanon, M., Ingram, K., Woodin, M., Somé, L., Ouattara, F., Sanfo, B., Sia, C., Yaka, P., and Hoogenboom, G.: From accessing to assessing forecasts: an end-to-end study of participatory climate forecast dissemination in Burkina Faso (West Africa), *Climatic Change*, 92, 433-460, 2009.
- 10 Roudier, P., Muller, B., d'Aquino, P., Roncoli, C., Soumaré, M. A., Batté, L., and Sultan, B.: The role of climate forecasts in smallholder agriculture: Lessons from participatory research in two communities in Senegal, *Climate Risk Management*, 2, 42-55, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2014.02.001>, 2014.
- Tarhule, A., and Lamb, P. J.: Climate Research and Seasonal Forecasting for West Africans: Perceptions, Dissemination, and Use?, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 84, 1741-1759, 10.1175/BAMS-84-12-1741, 2003.
- 15 Thébaud, B., and Batterbury, S.: Sahel pastoralists: opportunism, struggle, conflict and negotiation. A case study from eastern Niger, *Global Environmental Change*, 11, 69-78, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0959-3780\(00\)00046-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0959-3780(00)00046-7), 2001.
- Turner, M. D.: Political ecology and the moral dimensions of “resource conflicts”: the case of farmer–herder conflicts in the Sahel, *Political Geography*, 23, 863-889, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2004.05.009>, 2004.
- 20 Turner, M. D., Ayantunde, A. A., Patterson, K. P., and Patterson, E. D.: Livelihood Transitions and the Changing Nature of Farmer–Herder Conflict in Sahelian West Africa, *The Journal of Development Studies*, 47, 183-206, 10.1080/00220381003599352, 2011.