

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Earth System Dynamics (ESD). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ESD if available.

Background albedo dynamics improve simulated precipitation variability in the Sahel region

F. S. E. Vamborg^{1,2}, V. Brovkin¹, and M. Claussen^{1,3}

¹Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany
 ²International Max Planck Research School on Earth System Modelling, Hamburg, Germany
 ³Meteorological Institute, University Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

Received: 13 June 2013 - Accepted: 28 June 2013 - Published: 17 July 2013

Correspondence to: F. S. E. Vamborg (freja.vamborg@zmaw.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

595

Abstract

Using the general circulation model ECHAM5-JSBACH forced by observed sea surface temperatures (SSTs) for the 20th century, we investigate the role of vegetation and land surface albedo dynamics in shaping rainfall variability in the Sahel. We use two different

- ⁵ land surface albedo schemes, one in which the albedo of the canopy is varying and one in which additionally the albedo changes of the surface below the canopy are taken into account. The SST-forcing provides the background for simulating the observed decadal signal in Sahelian rainfall, though the respone to SST-forcing only is not strong enough to fully capture the observed signal. The introduction of dynamic vegetation leads to an
- increase in inter-annual variability of the rainfall, and gives rise to an increased number of high amplitude rainfall anomaly events. The dynamic background albedo leads to an increased persistence of the rainfall anomalies. The increase in persistence means that the difference between the dry and the wet decades is increased compared to the other simulations, and thus more closely matching the observed absolute change between
- these two periods. These results highlight the need for a consistent representation of land surface albedo dynamics for capturing the full extent of rainfall anomalies in the Sahel.

1 Introduction

Climate variability is important for vegetation distribution and vegetation variability especially in deserts and semi-deserts, where the vegetation response does not only depend on changes in the mean climate, but also on changes in patterns of variability, such as extreme events and the temporal structure of the change (Ni et al., 2006). In the Sahel/Sahara region, precipitation exerts a control on vegetation, which, in turn, can influence rainfall (e.g. Xue and Shukla, 1993; Brovkin et al., 1998; Claussen, 1997;

²⁵ Delire et al., 2004). The focus of this paper is on the land surface processes involved

in the coupling between vegetation variability and precipitation variability in the Sahel as simulated for the 20th century.

Sahelian rainfall is highly variable both on inter-annual and multi-decadal timescales. Evidence for multi-decadal variations in Sahelian rainfall have been found for

- several intervals during the past few centuries (Nicholson, 1981, 1989), which indicates that slow variations in the climate have been an inherent part of the Sahelian climate at least for the last few hundred years. Thus in order to understand future change in the Sahel, the processes responsible for these variations need to be understood. During the 20th century, the region saw a long wet period followed by an extended drought,
- which peaked in the early 1980s. Characteristic for these periods were their persistence and severity. A large amount of research have been devoted to the processes responsible for these variations, especially the causes for the extended drought period. The hypotheses on the mechanisms that could explain the onset and the duration of the drought range from man-made desertification (e.g. Otterman, 1974; Charney, 1975) to
- sea surface temperature (SST) patterns favouring drier conditions in the Sahel (Lamb, 1978; Lamb and Peppler, 1992). It is now generally accepted that changes in the SST patterns provide the background variability for decadal variability in Sahelian precipitation (Giannini et al., 2003; IPCC, 2007), though there is still some debate regarding which SST mode exerts the dominant control on the rainfall. The main modes of SST
- variability arise from natural variability, though some authors argue that the droughtinducing SST patterns can be attributed to some extent to anthropogenic forcing (e.g. Biasutti and Giannini, 2006; Booth et al., 2012).

The full persistence and severity of these low-frequency variations cannot be fully explained by forcing atmospheric models with observed SSTs (Zeng et al., 1999). This

²⁵ suggests that factors other than SST variability might play a role for the strength and especially the persistence of these longer wet or dry periods. These factors either enhance the natural SST signal or act to increase the signal seen in the precipitation (e.g. Biasutti and Giannini, 2006). Using models of varying degrees of complexity, Zeng et al. (1999), Wang and Eltahir (2000), Schnitzler et al. (2001) and Wang et al. (2004),

597

among others, showed that, by including certain furher components of the land surface dynamically, the signal is enhanced and thus the simulated rainfall closer matches the observed one.

Delire et al. (2004) found that the intensification of rainfall via the coupling with vegetation arises through a coupling of various slow processes in the vegetation dynamics that enhance the response of precipitation. Further studies have focused on particular land surface processes, such as the importance of soil moisture for increasing persistence of Sahelian precipitation (e.g. Xue and Shukla, 1993; Delworth and Manabe, 1993), the effect of seasonal albedo dynamics and the influence of man-made

- desertification on albedo and subsequently on precipitation (Otterman, 1974). There has however been little focus on explicitly modelling the natural albedo dynamics of the Sahel on the annual or decadal time scales. Here we use two albedo schemes implemented into the land surface model JSBACH, coupled to the atmosphere general circulation model ECHAM5. We use these to investigate the influence of different atmosphere.
- albedo dynamics, arising from different time-scales of change implemented in these schemes, on the Sahelian rainfall, focusing especially on the 20th century.

The paper is structured as follows. In the methods section the different land surface setups and the experimental setup are explained. The first part of the results and dicussion sections focuses on the evaluation of Sahelian rainfall variability simulated by

the model, especially the ability of the model to capture persistence and severity and how this is affected by the different schemes used. The second part of this section discusses the realism of the scheme and compares our results to previous findings. The paper ends with a short summary and conclusions.

2 Methods

We use the spectral atmospheric general circulation model ECHAM5 (Roeckner et al., 2003) in T31L19 resolution coupled to the land surface model JSBACH (Raddatz et al., 2007; Brovkin et al., 2009). The atmosphere model was forced with observed SSTs for

the years 1871–2008, from the merged HadISST and NOAA SST dataset as described in Hurrell et al. (2008). The detailed description of the models used can be found in the aforementioned papers. Here we briefly outline the different representation of vegetation dynamics and albedo dynamics that are used in the experimental setups.

5 2.1 Dynamic versus prescribed vegetation in JSBACH

In JSBACH, vegetation patterns are defined by the fraction of the gridbox that is vegetated and the distribution of plant functional types (PFTs) within that fraction. In simulations where the vegetation is "prescribed" the vegetated fraction of the gridbox and the distribution of the plant functional types are constants taken from the initial con-

- ditions. In simulations with "dynamic" vegetation, these variables are updated on an annual basis and vegetation patterns can shift in accordance with climatic change. The difference between the static and the dynamic vegetation simulations is thus the geographical distribution of the PFTs. Other land-surface properties, such as net primary productivity (NPP), leaf area index (LAI), sensible and latent heat fluxes, are calculated
- ¹⁵ by JSBACH at every time-step. The phenology is thus calculated in all simulations, whether the vegetation is dynamic or not. The phenological cycle is driven solely by temperature, soil moisture and NPP and does not depend on the calendar date (Raddatz et al., 2007).

The dynamic vegetation scheme is described in detail in Brovkin et al. (2009). Here we only outline the main equations. The dynamics of the fraction of the vegetated area of a gridbox occupied by PFT i, f_{i} , is calculated as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = E(f_i) - M(f_i) - D(f_i) , \qquad (1)$$

where *E* is the establishment term and, *M* and *D* are natural and disturbance driven mortality terms. The establishment term $E(f_i)$ for woody PFT *i* is calculated from annual NPP, N_i , the establishment time τ_i^{est} and from the PFT *i* fraction f_i

599

$$E(f_i) = \mu(\mathbf{f}) \frac{(N_i)^a \cdot f_i}{\tau_i^{\text{est}} \sum_{\text{woodv PFT}} (N_k)^a f_k}, \mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots),$$
(2)

where the factor *a* suppresses establishement for small NPP. The function $\mu(f)$ accounts for limitations in the area available for establishment, $1 - \sum_{\text{all PFT}} f_k$. The function

 $\mu(f)$ is equal to 1 if there is enough area for establishment, while it declines rapidly to zero if the available area is less than a certain threshold. The equation for herbaceous PFTs is similar to Eq. (2), with the assumption that grasses can only establish in the area left available after tree establishment.

Mortality is calculated according to the following linear decay function:

$$M(f_i) = \frac{f_i}{\tau_i^{\text{life}}} , \qquad (3)$$

where τ_i^{life} is the average life span of PFT *i*. Disturbance mortality $D(f_i)$ takes into acount mortality due to fire and wind fall.

2.2 Albedo representation

To investigate the importance of different response time-scales in the albedo we use two albedo setups, one in which the albedo of the surface below the canopy is constant in time ("static background albedo") and one in which the albedo of the surface below

the albedo depends on changing amount of litter and soil organic matter in the ground ("dynamic background albedo"). The latter scheme is explained in detail in Vamborg et al. (2011). For snow-free land in JSBACH the albedo of each PFT *i*, α_i , is calculated according to:

20
$$\alpha_i = f_{C,i} \alpha_{C,i} + (1 - f_{C,i}) \alpha_{bg,i}$$
,

(4)

and the gridbox-averaged surface albedo, $\alpha_{\rm S}$ is calculated as the sum of the albedo values of all PFTs weighting by their vegetation cover fraction f_i :

$$\alpha_{\rm S} = \sum_{\rm PFT} f_j \alpha_j. \tag{5}$$

The albedo of the canopy $\alpha_{C,i}$, where the canopy consists of green leaves only, is a PFT-specific constant. The fraction of the tile for PFT *i* that is covered by the canopy, $f_{C,i}$ (Eq. 6), varies with the fraction of the gridbox that is vegetated (V_{max}) and with the leaf area index (LAI_i) of PFT *i*:

$$f_{\rm C,i} = V_{\rm max} \left(1 - e^{-{\rm LAI}_i/2} \right) \,. \tag{6}$$

Depending on the albedo scheme chosen, the albedo of the surface below the canopy $\alpha_{ba,i}$ is either constant or varying in time.

$$\alpha_{\text{bg},i} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{\text{bg}} & \text{if static background albedo} \\ f_{\text{L},i} \, \alpha_{\text{L},i} + (1 - f_{\text{L},i}) \, \alpha_{\text{SOM}} & \text{if dynamic background albedo} \end{cases}$$
(7)

In the case of the static background albedo scheme, α_{bg} is constant in time, but varying in space. It is read in at the beginning of each experiment as maps with values for each gridbox and one map for each of the spectral bands (visible and near infrared).

¹⁵ When the dynamic background albedo scheme is used, the background albedo is varying both in time and space, like the canopy albedo. In this case the background albedo is dependent on a further subdivison of the surface albedo: the litter albedo, $\alpha_{L,i}$, representing standing non-green phytomass and litter and the albedo of the bare ground, α_{SOM} , that depends on the carbon content of the soil. The slow soil carbon pool repre-

sents the carbon in the soil that mineralizes at a slow rate and has a turnover time of 150 yr, and is used to calculate $\alpha_{\rm SOM}$. The turnover time of the leaf litter pool depends on soil moisture and temperature and is about one and a half years. This is the pool

601

that is used to calculate the fraction of the gridbox covered by litter, $f_{L,i}$. The dynamic background scheme thus potentially introduces two new time-scales to the albedo dynamics, in addition to the seasonal time-scale that is always present due to the canopy albedo (LAI variability).

5 2.3 Experimental setup

Simulations were performed with three different land surface setups. In the "VEG_{PRES}+BG_{STAT}" simulations, vegetation is prescribed and background albedo is static, in the "VEG_{DYN}+BG_{STAT}" simulations vegetation is dynamic and background albedo is static, and "VEG_{DYN}+BG_{DYN}" runs are performed with both dynamic veg-

- etation and dynamic background albedo. To assess model uncertainty arising from internal model variability, initial value ensembles are performed by minimally perturbing the top of the atmosphere (stratospheric horizontal diffusion). Nine simulations for the years 1871–2006 were performed, comprising three ensemble members for each of the three vegetation/albedo setups.
- To obtain an atmosphere state in equilibrium with the ocean forcing, one simulation was started in 1871 and run for two years. The atmosphere end-state of this run was used to initialise ECHAM5 in the year 1873 in all nine simulations. The land surface of all nine simulations was initialised in 1873 from the JSBACH end-state of an already existing equilibrium simulation. This simulation was performed under pre-industrial con-
- ²⁰ ditions in a fully coupled setup of ECHAM5/JSBACH and the ocean model MPIOM (Jungclaus et al., 2006), with the dynamic vegetation and the dynamic background albedo scheme switched on. Using the JSBACH end-state of this simulation, no large drifts can be seen in the vegetation distribution or in the carbon pools, therefore this approach was deemed appropriate. Greenhouse gases are kept constant at pre-industrial
- values (280 ppm) in all the simulations, in order to be able to isolate the effect of vegetation and albedo dynamics.

In this paper we restrict our analysis to the Sahel region. We define the Sahel as the 16 gridboxes from 11.25° W-33.75° E and 11.125° N-18.55° N (Fig. 1). The results

are robust to small changes in the choice of the area, which we tested by performing the same analysis on a subset of the chosen gridcells, both in the north/south and the west/east direction. The simulations are compared to observed precipitation from the land precipitation dataset CRU TS 3 0.5° for the years 1901–2006 (Mitchell and Jones, 2005). We therefore also base our analysis on the simulated years 1901–2006.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Simulated rainfall variability

The control setup (VEG_{PRES}+ BG_{STAT}) ensemble members, that have both prescribed vegetation cover and static background albedo, all underestimate the long-term mean rainfall by ca. 50 mm yr⁻¹, when compared to observed rainfall (Fig. 2a). Adding the dynamic vegetation (VEG_{DYN}+ BG_{STAT}), does not affect the long-term mean rainfall much, und thus also the simulated rainfall amount of this setup is lower than the observed precipitation (Fig. 2a). The long-term mean of the simulations with dynamic vegetation

- and the dynamic background albedo scheme (VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN}) matches the observed long-term mean well, by increasing mean rainfall by up to 15% compared to the other two setups (Fig. 2a). The main reason for this increase in mean rainfall is a decrease in the mean land surface albedo by around 0.06. How this change in mean albedo might affect our results is discussed in Sect. 3.3. The ensemble spread is similar among the setups, indicating that neither the inclusion of the dynamic vegetation, nor that of the dynamic background albedo, substantially influences the internal variability.
- In terms of the precipitation anomalies from the long-term mean, all nine simulations simulate a long wet and a long dry period, thus capturing the general shape of the observed rainfall (Fig. 3). The timing of the transition between these two periods is also captured by all simulations, even though the timing of the start and the end of the
- ²⁵ periods simulated in the VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN} simulations are slightly lagging those of the observed time series.

603

Because the internal variability of the atmosphere model adds random noise to the time series, we cannot expect a direct agreement between simulated and observed annual values. However, we can expect some correlation between five-year running means. Comparing the five-year running mean observed time series to the five-year

- ⁵ running mean simulated time series, we do find a good correlation between all nine simulations and the observed data, with correlation coefficients lying in the range of 0.55 to 0.77. Both the lowest and the highest correlation coefficients are obtained with the VEG_{DYN}+ BG_{STAT} setup. However, with this analysis it is not possible to say which setup fits better, since the scatter in the fit between ensemble members is larger than
- the inter-setup differences. In order to investigate differences between the setups, we focus on the two characteristics of 20th century Sahelian rainfall metioned in the introduction: persistence and severity.

3.1.1 Rainfall persistence

- To analyse the persistence of precipitation in the simulated time-series, we use two ¹⁵ measures: the power spectra and the autocorrelation functions. The autocorrelation function allows us to investigate for how long a signal persists in the precipitation, whereas the power spectra is a measure of at what frequencies the main modes of variability occur. The power spectra and auto-correlation function are calculated from detrended normalised time series for the years 1901 to 2006, so that all time series are directly comparable.
- ²⁰ directly comparable.

The spectrum of the observed rainfall time-series clearly shows low power at highfrequencies and high power at low frequencies, reflecting the slow variations present in the rainfall time-series due to the extended wet and dry periods. This pattern is captured to some extent by the ensemble mean time-series of all three setups (Fig. 4a).

²⁵ Since the use of the ensemble mean time-series might lead to a loss of information, we also consider the power spectra of the individual simulations.

The VEG_{PRES}+BG_{STAT} simulations show a consistent behaviour, with high spectral power in the same low-frequency interval as the observed values, the long-

term persistence is thus well captured (Fig. 4b). Introducing the dynamic vegetation (VEG_{DYN}+BG_{STAT}), the time series show reduced power at low-frequencies in favour of higher frequencies, leading to a flattening of the spectra compared to the spectra of both the observed time series and the simulations with prescribed vegeta-

- tion. The scatter between the ensemble members is also increased compared to the VEG_{PRES}+BG_{STAT} simulations. Introducing the dynamic background albedo scheme (VEG_{DYN}+BG_{DYN}), the power is decreased at high-frequencies and increased at low-frequencies compared to the (VEG_{DYN}+BG_{STAT}) simulations and one of the ensemble members closely follows the same pattern as the spectrum of the observed precipita-
- ¹⁰ tion time-series. The autocorrelation function of the VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN} simulations consistently lies above the one of VEG_{DYN} + BG_{STAT} simulations. The inclusion of the dynamic background albedo scheme compared to using a static background albedo, thus leads to increased persistence in the precipitation signal, in turn more closely matching the observed signal for lags of 1 to 8 yr (Fig. 5a).

15 3.1.2 Severity of rainfall anomalies

The intensity and severity of the prolonged periods above- and below the mean can be measured in the anomalies of the annual rainfall, as well as in the difference in mean between the wet and the dry period. The wet period mean is calculated as the mean of the annual precipitation for the years 1938 to 1967, and the dry period mean for the years 1969 to 1998. The wet period was here chosen to coincide with the prolonged period seen in the observational data-set. Another option would have been to include

- period seen in the observational data-set. Another option would have been to include the peak of the previous decade. The same conclusions can be drawn irrespective of the choice made.
- During the wet period, in most simulations and also the ensemble mean simulation of each setup, the maximum amplitudes of the anomalies are close to those observed (Fig. 3). During the dry period however, the simulations of the control setup (VEG_{PRES}+BG_{STAT}) show smaller rainfall anomalies than in the observations. The severity of the drought is thus underestimated. The small anomalies in the dry pe-

605

riod in turn leads to an underestimation of the difference in mean between the wet and the dry periods in all $VEG_{PRES} + BG_{STAT}$ simulations (Fig. 2b).

Considering the dry season anomalies for the $VEG_{DYN} + BG_{STAT}$ simulations (Fig. 3c), these are clearly captured and the inter-period standard deviation is higher than in the

- ⁵ VEG_{PRES} + BG_{STAT} simulations. During the dry period, the number of high-amplitude events is also larger. Even so the difference in mean between the wet and the dry periods is clearly underestimated in these simulations. This can be attributed to the lack of rainfall persistence in the wet period in this setup rather than to too few years with high-amplitude rainfall anomalies.
- The VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN} simulations show high amplitude anomalies for both the wet and the dry periods (Fig. 3d). The combination of high amplitude anomalies and the strong persistence in the rainfall in this setup leads to a large difference in mean between the wet and the dry period, thus closely matching the observed difference (Fig. 2b). These simulations are thus able to capture both the persistence and the severity of the precipitation anomalies as seen in the observations.

3.2 Sources of land surface-induced rainfall variability

Since all nine simulations are able to reproduce the observed precipitation with some skill, it is clear that SSTs and synergies between SSTs and the atmosphere and/or the land surface play a major role in shaping the rainfall variability in the Sahel during this

²⁰ period. To go into the details of the influence of observed SSTs on Sahelian rainfall is beyond the scope of this study, and it is a topic that has been widely researched in the past (see e.g. Giannini et al., 2003; Rodríguez-Fonseca et al., 2011, for a review).

Even though the vegetation fraction and the distribution of PFTs is prescribed, the phenological cycle is seasonally and interannually varying, which affects the exchange

of energy between the atmosphere and the land surface. It is thus not possible to completely exclude an influence of the land surface on precipitation variability in the VEG_{PRES}+ BG_{STAT} simulations. With the setup of the simulations here, we are not interested in detangling the SST influence from that of the land surface influence in general,

nor that of any synergistic effects due to feedbacks between the atmosphere and the ocean. Rather we are interested in the effect that the parameterisation of the dynamic vegetation scheme and the dynamic background albedo scheme have on precipitation variability. We will therefore focus on explaining how the differences between the se-

5 tups that we found in the last section arise. In order to highlight these dissimilarities, we focus on the parameters of the model that lead to the main differences between the setups.

The energy exchange between the atmosphere and the land surface is mainly modulated via albedo and stomatal conductance. The albedo affects the shortwave radiation

¹⁰ budget and the stomatal conductance affects the transpiration of the plants, and thus, the relative importance of transpirative, evaporative and sensible heat fluxes. The main variable controlling canopy albedo and transpiration is the LAI. One can roughly reduce the interaction between the land surface and the atmosphere directly controlled by the LAI to the projective cover, PC. The PC is the area of a gridcell covered by the green ¹⁵ canopy:

$$PC = V_{\max} \sum_{PFT} f_i (1 - e^{-LAI_i/2}),$$
(8)

where V_{max} is the maximum vegetated fraction of the gridbox, f_i is the fractional cover of PFT *i* (see Eq. 1) and LAI_i is the LAI of PFT *i*. LAI is updated at every model time-step (subdaily) in all setups (with and without dynamic vegetation). V_{max} and *f* are constant for static vegetation simulations and is updated on an annual basis for dynamic veg-

- etation simulations. V_{max} represents the maximum fraction of the gridbox that can be vegetated. It is the complement of the desert fraction (DF), i.e. $V_{max} = 1 - DF$, which in turn is the fraction of the gridbox that has been completely non-vegetated for the previous 50 yr (see Vamborg et al., 2011).
- ²⁵ Due to imposing the same initial land surface conditions in all simulations, the initial vegetation cover V_0 may not be in equilibrium with the climate. In the VEG_{DYN}+BG_{STAT} simulations the maximum vegetated fraction shows no trend, however in the VEG_{DYN}+BG_{DYN} simulations there is a slight drift in the vegetation cover

607

fraction. We assume this slight drift to have had minor effects on the results, since it is neither seen in the albedo nor in the evapotranspiration time series (not shown).

In the Sahel, LAI variability is closely controlled by precipitation, which is reflected in the projective cover anomalies (Fig. 6). During the wet period the projective cover is above the long-term mean and vice versa for the dry period. The concurrent changes

- in NPP lead to an expansion of the maximum vegetated area during the long wet period and a decline in this area during the dry period in the two setups with dynamic vegetation (Fig. 6b and c). This increase and decrease lags that of precipitation and NPP because of the 50 yr response time-scale used to calculate V_{max} .
- Since V_{max} and f are constant in the VEG_{PRES}+BG_{STAT} simulations, it is only variability in the annual LAI that can affect the variability in the annual mean projective cover, whereas in the VEG_{DYN}+BG_{STAT} and the VEG_{DYN}+BG_{DYN} simulations all three parameters (V_{max} , f_i , LAI_i, *i*cPFT) are varying. This leads to an additional source of variability in the projective cover. Any variability changes in the projective cover are
- directly transferred to the transpiration and to the canopy albedo. The inter-annual variability of projective cover is increased in the VEG_{DYN}+BG_{STAT} simulations compared to the VEG_{PRES}+BG_{STAT} simulations, both during the wet and the dry periods. In the VEG_{DYN}+BG_{STAT} simulations, albedo for the background is fixed, and changes in the albedo are only related to changes in the canopy albedo. This means that the ampli-
- fication of the projective cover is directly affecting the energy exchange between the land surface and the atmosphere, both via evapotranspiration (not shown) and via the albedo in the VEG_{DYN}+BG_{STAT} simulations (Fig. 7b). The change in variability in the projective cover thus leads to an increased inter-annual variability in both transpiration and in the canopy albedo, which ultimately leads to the loss of persistence seen
- ²⁵ in Sect. 3.1. The change in inter-annual variability is particularly strong in the annual albedo, with albedo values clearly below and above the annual mean value during the wet and the dry periods respectively. This amplification of the albedo anomalies in turn leads to the amplification of the rainfall anomalies seen in the VEG_{DYN}+ BG_{STAT} simulations.

For the VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN} simulations, the energy fluxes between the land surface and the atmosphere that are affected by changes in evapotranspiration are, similarly to the VEG_{PRES} + BG_{STAT} and the VEG_{DYN} + BG_{STAT} simulations, modulated by the projective cover. The albedo, on the other hand, is modulated not only by changes in the canopy

- ⁵ albedo, but also by changes in the background albedo, via changes in the slow soil carbon pool and the litter pool. These pools have a much longer response timescale than the LAI and they introduce a slowly varying filter to the albedo. This leads to albedo anomalies that slightly lag the precipitation anomalies (Fig. 7c), as well as reduced interannual variability of the albedo. The dynamic background albedo thus introduces
- a slowly varying component into the system, which is reflected through the increased persistence that was seen in Sect. 3.1.

3.3 Comparing simulated albedo and projective cover anomalies to observations

The model behaviour can be explained by fluctuations in the projective cover and in the land surface albedo, which means that in order to judge the realism of our results, we need to compare the dynamical behaviour of these two variables to observations. It is not possible to obtain observational data for albedo or projective cover for the entire simulated period to validate these results. However, for albedo we can use the longest available albedo time series for North Africa: the Meteosat albedo product for

- the years 1982 to mid-2006 (Loew and Govaerts, 2010). We use the bihemispherical reflectance (bhr) channel (white sky albedo) averaged over the region 10° W–30° E and 10° N–20° N. Due to a large number of missing pixels at the beginning of the time series and an absence of data for half of 2006, we only consider annual mean values for the years 1989–2005. The mean of the Meteosat time series is 0.249, which is
- ²⁵ clearly below the simulated values for the same time period of the VEG_{PRES}+ BG_{STAT} and the VEG_{DYN}+ BG_{STAT} simulations (0.301 resp. 0.298) and slightly above those of the VEG_{DYN}+ BG_{DYN} simulations (0.23). The standard deviation is on the other hand better captured by the VEG_{PRES}+ BG_{STAT} and the VEG_{DYN}+ BG_{STAT} simulations than

609

for the VEG_{DYN}+BG_{DYN} simulations (Meteosat: 0.0033, VEG_{PRES}+BG_{STAT}: 0.003, VEG_{DYN}+BG_{STAT}: 0.004, VEG_{DYN}+BG_{DYN}: 0.0014). The difference in mean albedo between the simulations with fixed background albedo and the Meteosat data can to some extent be explained by the method used to derive the albedo maps used for the

⁵ background albedo. These maps were derived using one year of MODIS-observations in a manner similar to Rechid et al. (2009). The use of just one year of values and the different data-source combine to a different mean state of the albedo than the one obtained from the Meteosat observations.

The mean albedo of the $VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN}$ simulations more closely match that of the ¹⁰ Meteosat observations. However, the fact that the albedo mean of these simulations is much different from the other two setups, implies that also the mean climate state is different. The question thus arises to what extent our results are a reflection of the change in mean albedo rather than the change in albedo variability. This question can only be answered completely by performing a further set of experiments, where the

- ¹⁵ albedo values are fixed to this lower value. Such experiments are beyond the scope of this study. As a result of the background albedo scheme the precipitation anomalies in the VEG_{DYN}+ BG_{DYN} simulations slightly lag those of the other setups. Comparing the mean of the wet and the dry period albedo values, the largest difference is seen in the VEG_{DYN}+ BG_{STAT} simulations; the simulations for which the mean change in
- ²⁰ precipitation between the periods is the lowest. This shows that the response of the precipitation to albedo changes is related to the annual variability of albedo rather than to the mean albedo of either period. The persistence of the albedo anomalies in the VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN} simulations are thus the driving force for the results we have seen in previous sections, rather than the mean albedo.
- The simulations with fixed background albedo have a standard deviation close to that of the Meteosat observations. In these simulations the albedo is parameterised such that it only depends on LAI-variations. A clear annual effect of vegetation on albedo is however not found in observational data (Fuller and Ottke, 2002), which indicates that only including the effect of LAI fluctuations in the albedo calculations, might exag-

gerate the importance of year-to-year LAI variability for albedo variability. Precipitation does not only have a direct effect on albedo through soil moisture (an effect that we have omitted, see discussion in Vamborg et al., 2011), and through the greening of the canopy, but also a lagged effect on albedo due to accumulation of litter and in-

- ⁵ creased non-green biomass (Samain et al., 2008). However, due to the few years of albedo data and the sparsity of locations from which precipitation data is derived, it is not possible to investigate the plausibility of the slow response of albedo that is simulated by the dynamic albedo scheme. The low standard deviation of the albedo in the VEG_{DYN}+BG_{DYN} simulations is an indication that the slowly varying component
- introduced in the dynamic albedo scheme is too dominant. The background albedo scheme was originally designed to capture slow albedo variations on centennial- to millenial time-scales (Vamborg et al., 2011). A recalibration of the scheme, resulting in a an albedo variability inbetween the variabilities with and without the current version of the scheme, could give more realistic results.
- ¹⁵ The normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI), is a measure of the photosynthetically active vegetation and can thus be used as a proxy for the projective cover (e.g. Tucker and Nicholson, 1999). The NDVI data we use here, comes from the Global Inventory of Modeling and Mapping Studies (GIMMS – Pinzon et al., 2005; Tucker et al., 2005), and covers the same regional box that we used for the Meteosat albedo.
- ²⁰ The standard deviation of the annual mean observed NDVI for the years 1982 to 2006 is ca. 4% of the annual mean value. For the same period, the standard deviation from the mean for the simulated projective cover is between 5.6 and 6.7% for the VEG_{PRES}+BG_{STAT} simulations, 6.5 and 7.5% for the VEG_{DYN}+BG_{STAT} simulations and 4.5 and 6.6% for the VEG_{DYN}+BG_{DYN} simulations. This indicates that the pro-
- ²⁵ jective cover is slightly too variable on an annual basis for the VEG_{PRES} + BG_{STAT} and the VEG_{DYN} + BG_{STAT} simulations. Here, we have focused on the annual variations in projective cover. For the interpretation and discussion of our results, this is a sufficient measure. To properly judge the model's capacity to correctly simulate LAI in general, one should consider sub-annual values.

611

3.4 Comparison to previous modelling studies

In this study we have focused on the effect of two land surface processes that have the potential to affect precipitation variability in the Sahel: vegetation dynamics and albedo dynamics. The terms "dynamic vegetation" and "interactive vegetation" are not consis-

- tently used in the literature, making direct inter-comparisions with other studies difficult. In this study dynamic vegetation means the possibility of a geographical change in vegetation cover and a possible redistribution between PFTs. Vegetation parameters such as the LAI are interactive in all experiments, so that we cannot make any statements on the effect of interactive vegetation such as done in e.g. Zeng et al. (1999).
- Additionally, the dynamic vegetation indirectly affects the evapotranspiration, sensible heat flux and the land surface albedo. It is therefore difficult to detangle exactly what happens once vegetation dynamics are switched on. In our study vegetation dynamics leads to increased inter-annual variability and not to an increased persistence of rainfall anomalies, as was suggested by some other studies (Wang and Eltahir, 2000;
- ¹⁵ Wang et al., 2004). This shows that in our setup, dynamic vegetation mainly acts as an amplifier of the annual signal in precipitation induced by the SST forcing. The difference between these studies arises mainly due to differences in the model formulations. In JSBACH, the PFT-distribution depends on the maximum NPP average over several years (5 yr here) and the maximum vegetation cover has a time-scale of 50 yr, whereas
- in e.g. Wang and Eltahir (2000) and in Wang et al. (2004) the vegetation dynamics are directly dependent on the productivity of the year before. This means that the interannual memory in vegetation that is a prerequisite to find an amplification of the rainfall (Crucifix et al., 2005) is either artificially enhanced in their studies, or it is underestimated in our setup.
- The second process we investigate is albedo dynamics. The effect of albedo on precipitation in the Sahel, is a topic that has been widely studied, both for the 20th century drought (e.g. Charney, 1975; Sud and Fennessy, 1982; Xue and Shukla, 1993) and for past time-intervals, such as the mid-Holocene (e.g. Claussen and Gayler, 1997). In the

case of the 20th century drought the focus has mainly been on albedo changes induced by intensified man-made land-use. These studies have used either exaggerated albedo changes between the wet and the dry period, thus obtaining a too strong precipitation response (Giannini et al., 2008) or in the cases where albedo changes have been real-

- ⁵ istic, the response in rainfall has been rather low (e.g. Taylor et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2004). In our study, the maximum difference between the low (in the wet period) and the high (in the dry period) albedo peaks is only about 0.04, a rather moderate absolute change, and that holds for all three setups. The simulations with the largest mean change in albedo between the periods, has the lowest mean change in precipitation.
- We thus find that it is not necessarily the absolute or the mean change that is of importance, but rather the actual variations in the albedo, and how this variability in turn affects the precipitation. Our findings hence highlight the importance of a process that can amplify the low-frequency component of the SST-induced anomalies.
- Vegetation and albedo dynamics are not the only land surface processes that may ¹⁵ affect precipitation variability. Another important process that can be of importance, and that might increase long-term variability in precipitation, is soil moisture. On the seasonal time-scale it has been clearly shown that soil moisture has an effect on the precipitation during that season (e.g. Delworth and Manabe, 1993). It is unclear wheather soil moisture anomalies can survive the Sahelian dry period and affect the rainfall of the
- ²⁰ following year. Still, Zeng et al. (1999) showed that soil moisture can have an effect on precipitation also on the annual time-scale, however its effect was shown to be much smaller than that of vegetation dynamics. In our study soil moisture is treated in the same way in all simulation setups, and it is therefore not possible to draw any further conclusions here.
- ²⁵ Another factor that might influence vegetation dynamics, and thus affects our results, are the increasing greenhouse gas concentrations during the simulated period. These are not taken into account in our study, as greenhouse gas concentrations are kept at pre-industrial levels in all simulations. CO₂ levels do not only affect vegetation indirectly by changing the radiation budget and thus climate, but also affect plant biophysics. Un-

613

der higher CO_2 concentrations, plants, especially C_3 plants, increase their productivity and reduce their stomatal conductance (Körner et al., 2007; Bonan, 2008). Since the industrial revolution, CO_2 levels have increased by over 100 ppm (Etheridge et al., 1996; IPCC, 2007) and could thus have some effect on the plant distribution in the Sahel. We

- ⁵ assume that the warming signal is carried in the SSTs and that neglecting greenhouse gas changes would not affect the physical climate to a large extent. CO_2 fertilisation could, however, alter the competition between C_3 and C_4 plants, by increasing C_3 plant productivity without increasing water demand. This could either lead to an increased fraction of C_3 plants, because of increased productivity or an increased fraction of
- C_4 plants due to increased water availability. The distribution changes in favour of C_3 plants during the first 40 yr in the simulations with dynamic vegetation. By then, and thus during the main part of the period considered in this analysis, a quasi-equilibrium in plant distribution is reached. This distribution is not significantly affected by changed water availability during the wet and the dry periods (not shown) and the additional
- effect due to CO_2 fertilisation would therefore be negligible. This is in line with a study investigating the contribution of the CO_2 fertilisation effect to the recent greening of the Sahel (Hickler et al., 2005). They show that most of the greening during the 1990s can be explained by the increase in precipitation in the region during the same time period.

4 Conclusions

- ²⁰ Using a general circulation model coupled to a land surface model (ECHAM5/JSABCH) we investigate the role of vegetation and land surface albedo dynamics in shaping rainfall variability in the Sahel. The model is forced by observed sea surface temperatures for the 20th century and greenhouse gases are kept at pre-industrial levels. We use a scheme for dynamic vegetation as well as two different land surface albedo schemes
- to address this question. In one of the schemes, the background albedo is fixed and the only varying compontent is the canopy albedo. In the other scheme, additionally

the background albedo can change, depending on the amount of litter and standing phytomass and on the amount of carbon in the ground.

Comparing the simulations with and without dynamic vegetation, we find that the dynamic vegetation leads to an increased inter-annual variability in precipitation, as

- ⁵ well as an increase in large amplitude rainfall anomaly events. We do not find that the dynamic vegetation scheme increases the persistence of rainfall variability, as has been proposed by some other studies. The design of the experiments do not allow any statement on the general influence of vegetation variables that vary on a sub-annual basis, such as the LAI, since these are dynamic in all setups.
- ¹⁰ Using spectral analysis, we find an increase in low-frequency variability in the simulations with dynamic background albedo. The background albedo thus acts as an amplifier of the low-frequency component of the precipitation anomalies. This characteristic of the dynamic background albedo arises from the slow turnover times of litter and the soil that are included in this scheme. The combination of the increased
- ¹⁵ number of high-amplitude events, as introduced by the dynamic vegetation, and the increased persistence, due to the dynamic background albedo scheme, allows to capture the large difference in mean rainfall between the wet and the dry periods as seen in observations.

Our study thus reconfirms the need for processes on land that can amplify the lowfrequency component of the SST-induced precipitation signal. If these processes are not included in the simulation of Sahelian rainfall, the anomalies will be underestimated. However it is clear that SSTs carry the majority of the signal, and as long as coupled atmosphere-ocean models are unable to properly simulate the SSTs that are important for Sahelian rainfall, predicting longer term rainfall anomalies in this area will remain difficult.

Acknowledgement. We thank Alexander Löw for providing the recalibrated and regridded Meteosat albedo dataset, and Carola Weiss for providing the regridded GIMMS dataset. We also thank Jürgen Bader for helpful comments and suggestions.

615

References

10

- Biasutti, M. and Giannini, A.: Robust Sahel drying in response to late 20th century forcings, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L11706, doi:10.1029/2006GL026067, 2006. 597
- Bonan, G.: Ecological Climatology, 2nd Edn., Cambridge University Press, 550 pp., 2008. 614
 Booth, B. B., Dunstone, N. J., Halloran, P. R., Andrews, T., and Bellouin, N.: Aerosols implicated as a prime driver of twentieth-century North Atlantic climate variability, Nature, 484,
- 228–232, doi:10.1038/nature10946, 2012. 597 Brovkin, V., Claussen, M., Petoukhov, V., and Ganopolski, A.: On the stability of the atmosphere-
- vegetation system in the Sahara/Sahel region, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 31613–31624, 1998. 596
- Brovkin, V., Raddatz, T., Reick, C. H., Claussen, M., and Gayler, V.: Global biogeophysical interactions between forest and climate, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L07405, doi:10.1029/2009GL037543, 2009. 598, 599
- Charney, J. G.: Dynamics of deserts and drought in Sahel, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 101, 193–202, 1975. 597, 612
- Claussen, M.: Modeling bio-geophysical feedback in the African and Indian monsoon region, Clim. Dynam., 13, 247–257, 1997. 596
- Claussen, M. and Gayler, V.: The greening of the Sahara during the mid-Holocene: results of an interactive atmosphere-biome model, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 6, 369–377, 1997. 612
- 20 Crucifix, M., Betts, R. A., and Cox, P. M.: Vegetation and climate variability: a GCM modelling study, Clim. Dynam., 24, 457–467, doi:10.1007/s00382-004-0504-z, 2005. 612
 - Delire, C., Foley, J. A., and Thompson, S.: Long-term variability in a coupled atmospherebiosphere model, J. Climate, 17, 3947–3959, 2004. 596, 598
- Delworth, T. and Manabe, S.: Climate Variability and Land-surface Processes, Adv. Water Resour., 16, 3–20, doi:10.1016/0309-1708(93)90026-C, 1993. 598, 613
- Etheridge, D. M., Steele, L., Langenfelds, R., Francey, R., Barnola, J.-M., and Morgan, V. I.: Natural and anthropogenic changes in atmospheric CO₂ over the last 1000 yr from air in Antarctic ice and firn, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 4115–4128, doi:10.1029/95JD03410, 1996. 614
- ³⁰ Fuller, D. O. and Ottke, C.: Land cover, rainfall and land-surface albedo in West Africa, Climatic Change, 54, 181–204, 2002. 610

- Giannini, A., Saravanan, R., and Chang, P.: Oceanic forcing of Sahel rainfall on interannual to interdecadal time scales, Science, 302, 1027–1030, 2003. 597, 606
- Giannini, A., Biasutti, M., and Verstraete, M. M.: A climate model-based review of drought in the Sahel: desertification, the re-greening and climate change, Global Planet. Change, 64, 119–128, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2008.05.004, 2008. 613
- Hickler, T., Eklundh, L., Seaquist, J. W., Smith, B., Ardo, J., Olsson, L., Sykes, M. T., and Sjöström, M.: Precipitation controls Sahel greening trend, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L21415, doi:10.1029/2005GL024370, 2005. 614
- Hurrell, J. W., Hack, J. J., Shea, D., Caron, J. M., and Rosinski, J.: A new sea surface temperature and sea ice boundary dataset for the Community Atmosphere Model, J. Climate, 21, 5145–5153, doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2292.1, 2008. 599
 - IPCC: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, in: Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and
- Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 996 pp., 2007. 597, 614 Jungclaus, J. H., Keenlyside, N., Botzet, M., Haak, H., Luo, J. J., Latif, M., Marotzke, J., Mikola
 - jewicz, U., and Roeckner, E.: Ocean circulation and tropical variability in the coupled model ECHAM5/MPI-OM, J. Climate, 19, 3952–3972, doi:10.1175/JCLI3827.1, 2006. 602
- 20 Körner, C., Morgan, J., and Norby, R.: CO₂ fertilization: when, where, how much?, in: Terrestrial Ecosystems in a Changing World, edited by: Canadell, J. G., Pataki, D. E., and Pitelka, L. F., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 9–21, 2007. 614
 - Lamb, P. J.: Large-scale tropical Atlantic surface circulation patterns associated with subsaharan weather anomalies, Tellus, 30, 240–251, 1978. 597
- Lamb, P. J. and Peppler, R. A.: Further case-studies of tropical Atlantic surface atmospheric and oceanic patterns associated with sub-saharan drought, J. Climate, 5, 476–488, 1992. 597
 - Loew, A. and Govaerts, Y.: Towards multidecadal consistent meteosat surface albedo time series, Remote Sens., 2, 957–967, doi:10.3390/rs2040957, 2010. 609
- Mitchell, T. D. and Jones, P. D.: An improved method of constructing a database of monthly climate observations and associated high-resolution grids, Int. J. Climatol., 25, 693–712, 2005. 603, 622, 623

- Ni, J., Harrison, S. P., Prentice, I. C., Kutzbach, J. E., and Sitch, S.: Impact of climate variability on present and Holocene vegetation: a model-based study, Ecol. Model., 191, 469–486, 2006. 596
- Nicholson, S. E.: The historical climatology of Africa, in: Climate and History, edited by: ⁵ Wigley, T., Ingram, M., and Farmer, G., Cambridge University Press, 249–270, 1981. 597
- Nicholson, S. E.: Long-term changes in African rainfall, Weather, 44, 46–56, 1989. 597
 Otterman, J.: Baring high-albedo soils by overgrazing hypothesized desertification mechanism, Science, 186, 531–533, 1974. 597, 598
- Pinzon, J., Brown, M., and Tucker, C.: Satellite time series correction of orbital drift artifacts using empirical mode decomposition, in: Hilbert–Huang Transform: Introduction and Applications, edited by: Huang, N., World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 167–186, 2005. 611
- Raddatz, T. J., Reick, C. H., Knorr, W., Kattge, J., Roeckner, E., Schnur, R., Schnitzler, K. G., Wetzel, P., and Jungclaus, J.: Will the tropical land biosphere dominate the climate-carbon cycle feedback during the twenty-first century?, Clim. Dynam., 29, 565–574, 2007. 598, 599
- Rechid, D., Raddatz, T., and Jacob, D.: Parameterization of snow-free land surface albedo as a function of vegetation phenology based on MODIS data and applied in climate modelling, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 95, 245–255, 2009. 610
- Rodríguez-Fonseca, B., Janicot, S., Mohino, E., Losada, T., Bader, J., Caminade, C., Chauvin, F., Fontaine, B., García-Serrano, J., Gervois, S., Joly, M., Polo, I., Ruti, P., Roucou, P., and Voldoire, A.: Interannual and decadal SST-forced responses of the West African monsoon, Atmos. Sci. Lett., 12, 67–74, doi:10.1002/asl.308, 2011. 606
- Roeckner, E., Bäuml, G., Bonaventura, L., Brokopf, R., Esch, M. M. G., Hagemann, S., Kirchner, I., Kornblueh, L., Manzini, E., Rhodin, A., Schlese, U., Schulzweida, U., and Tompkins, A.: The atmospheric general circulation model ECHAM5, part I: model description,
- Tech. Rep. Rep. 349, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, available from MPI for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany, 127 pp., 2003. 598
 - Samain, O., Kergoat, L., Hiernaux, P., Guichard, F., Mougin, E., Timouk, F., and Lavenu, F.: Analysis of the in situ and MODIS albedo variability at multiple timescales in the Sahel, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D14119, doi:10.1029/2007JD009174, 2008. 611
- Schnitzler, K. G., Knorr, W., Latif, M., Bader, J., and Zeng, N.: Vegetation feedback on Sahelian rainfall variability in a coupled climate land – vegetation model, Tech. Rep. Rep. 329, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, available from MPI for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany, 13 pp., 2001. 597

- Sud, Y. C. and Fennessy, M.: A study of the influence of surface albedo on July circulation in semi-arid regions using the glas GCM, J. Climatol., 2, 105–125, 1982. 612
- Taylor, C. M., Lambin, E. F., Stephenne, N., Harding, R. J., and Essery, R. L. H.: The influence of land use change on climate in the Sahel, J. Climate, 15, 3615–3629, 2002. 613
- ⁵ Tucker, C. J. and Nicholson, S. E.: Variations in the size of the Sahara Desert from 1980 to 1997, Ambio, 28, 587–591, 1999. 611
 - Tucker, C. J., Pinzon, J., Brown, M., Slayback, D., Pak, E., Mahoney, R., Vermote, E., and Saleous, N.: An extended AVHRR 8 km NDVI data set compatible with MODIS and SPOT vegetation NDVI data, Int. J. Remote Sens., 26, 4485–4498, 2005. 611
- Vamborg, F. S. E., Brovkin, V., and Claussen, M.: The effect of a dynamic background albedo scheme on Sahel/Sahara precipitation during the mid-Holocene, Clim. Past, 7, 117–131, doi:10.5194/cp-7-117-2011, 2011. 600, 607, 611
 - Wang, G., Eltahir, E. A. B., Foley, J. A., Pollard, D., and Levis, S.: Decadal variability of rainfall in the Sahel: results from the coupled GENESIS-IBIS atmosphere-biosphere model, Clim. Dynam., 22, 625–637, 2004. 597, 612, 613

15

- Wang, G. L. and Eltahir, E. A. B.: Biosphere-atmosphere interactions over West Africa, I: development and validation of a coupled dynamic model, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 126, 1239– 1260, 2000. 597, 612
- Xue, Y. K. and Shukla, J.: The influence of land-surface properties on Sahel climate, 1. desertification, J. Climate, 6, 2232–2245, 1993. 596, 598, 612
- Zeng, N., Neelin, J. D., Lau, K. M., and Tucker, C. J.: Enhancement of interdecadal climate variability in the Sahel by vegetation interaction, Science, 286, 1537–1540, 1999. 597, 612, 613



Fig. 1. Region of analysis. The dark grey gridboxes are those defined as the Sahel in this study. Light grey indicates land and white indicates ocean.



Fig. 2. (a) Mean annual precipitation over the years 1901–2006, **(b)** difference between the annual mean precipitation of the wet years (1938–1967) and the mean of the dry years (1969–1998). Crosses: observed precipitation or ensemble mean precipitation, open circles: individual ensemble members.



Fig. 3. Annual mean precipitation normalised w.r.t. mean and the standard deviation of rainfall for the years 1901–2006 in the Sahel. **(a)** Observed precipitation from the CRU-TS3 land data set (Mitchell and Jones, 2005), bars show yearly values, lines show five-year running averages. Simulated precipitation for the **(b)** static ($VEG_{PRES} + BG_{STAT}$) simulations, **(c)** dynamic vegetation ($VEG_{DYN} + BG_{STAT}$) simulations, **(d)** dynamic vegetation and dynamic albedo ($VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN}$) simulations. Bars show annual values of the ensemble mean. Lines show five-year running averages for the individual ensemble members (grey) and the ensemble mean (black). The dotted lines indicate one standard deviation from the mean.



Fig. 4. Power spectra of normalised precipitation for the years 1901–2006. **(a)** Observed precipitation, CRU-TS3 (Mitchell and Jones, 2005), (black) and the mean spectra of the ensemble member spectra of each setup ($VEG_{PRES} + BG_{STAT}$, $VEG_{DYN} + BG_{STAT}$, $VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN}$). Power spectra of individual ensemble members for the **(b)** $VEG_{PRES} + BG_{STAT}$, **(c)** $VEG_{DYN} + BG_{STAT}$ and **(d)** $VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN}$ simulations.

```
623
```



Fig. 5. Autocorrelation function calculated for the years 1901–2006 for observed precipitation (CRU–TS3) and for simulated precipitation of the ensemble members for VEG_{DYN}+ BG_{STAT} and VEG_{DYN}+ BG_{DYN} simulations. Shadings indicate the ensemble spread.



Fig. 6. Projective cover anomalies w.r.t. mean projective cover for the years 1901–2006 in the Sahel for the (**a**) static ($VEG_{PRES} + BG_{STAT}$) simulations, (**b**) dynamic vegetation ($VEG_{DYN} + BG_{STAT}$) simulations, (**c**) dynamic vegetation and albedo ($VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN}$) simulations. Bars show annual values of the ensemble mean. Lines across the bars show five-year running averages for the individual ensemble members (grey) and the ensemble mean (black). Lines above the bars show annual mean values of V_{max} (right axis) for the individual ensemble members (grey) and the detrended series for the VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN} simulations.





Fig. 7. Albedo anomalies w.r.t. mean albedo for the years 1901–2006 in the Sahel for the (a) static ($VEG_{PRES} + BG_{STAT}$) simulations, (b) dynamic vegetation ($VEG_{DYN} + BG_{STAT}$) simulations, (c) dynamic vegetation and albedo ($VEG_{DYN} + BG_{DYN}$) simulations. Bars show annual values of the ensemble mean. Lines show five-year running averages for the individual ensemble members (grey) and the ensemble mean (black).